

Received(Date): 13 MAY 2003 15:49:45

From: Joel Pardue
UNKNOWN])

P6/b6

To: lleo@fed-soc.org (lleo@fed-soc.org [UNKNOWN])

Bcc: Brett M. Kavanaugh (CN=Brett M. Kavanaugh/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

Subject: : Sore losers & the Judicial Confirmation Process

[P_WAWDG003_WHO.TXT_1.txt](#)

Begin Original ARMS Header

RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)

CREATOR:Joel Pardue
UNKNOWN])

P6/b6

CREATION DATE/TIME: 13-MAY-2003 15:49:45.00

SUBJECT:: Sore losers & the Judicial Confirmation Process

TO:lleo@fed-soc.org (lleo@fed-soc.org [UNKNOWN])

READ:UNKNOWN

BCC:Brett M. Kavanaugh (CN=Brett M. Kavanaugh/OU=WHO/O=EOP [WHO])

READ:UNKNOWN

End Original ARMS Header

New York Times 1995:

"Once a rarely used tactic reserved for issues on which senators held passionate convictions, the filibuster has become the tool of the sore loser, dooming any measure that cannot command the 60 required votes."

Who are today's sore losers?

Nan Aron, Alliance for Justice:

"Well, I would certainly acknowledge that there is a group of law professors around the country that do believe this President, because he did not win the election, does not have the authority to select Supreme Court justices, and I think that view holds among many."

Abner Mikva:

"First, this president does not have the mandate of a national plurality. While the court did resolve the dispute about Florida's electoral votes, giving President Bush an electoral college majority, it could not alter the popular vote."

Senator Kennedy, Senate Judiciary Committee Hearing, 5/6/03:

"The 2000 election was very close, the Senate is very closely divided as well and it's no surprise that we are divided over the appointment of judges. President Bush has no mandate from the American people to stack the courts with judges who share his ideological agenda and the Senate has no obligation to acquiesce in that agenda."

Senator Schumer, Senate Judiciary Committee Hearing, 5/6/03:

"But this idea of majority power, well maybe we should hold hearings on the election of the President in the year 2000, or make that the second chapter in this."

Do you Yahoo!?

The New Yahoo! Search - Faster. Easier. Bingo.
- att1.htm

ATT CREATION TIME/DATE: 0 00:00:00.00

File attachment <P_WAWDG003_WHO.TXT_1>

New York Times 1995:

"Once a rarely used tactic reserved for issues on which senators held passionate convictions, the filibuster has become the tool of the sore loser, dooming any measure that cannot command the 60 required votes."

Who are today's sore losers?

Nan Aron, Alliance for Justice:

"Well, I would certainly acknowledge that there is a group of law professors around the country that do believe this President, because he did not win the election, does not have the authority to select Supreme Court justices, and I think that view holds among many."

Abner Mikva:

"First, this president does not have the mandate of a national plurality. While the court did resolve the dispute about Florida's electoral votes, giving President Bush an electoral college majority, it could not alter the popular vote."

Senator Kennedy, Senate Judiciary Committee Hearing, 5/6/03:

"The 2000 election was very close, the Senate is very closely divided as well and it's no surprise that we are divided over the appointment of judges. President Bush has no mandate from the American people to stack the courts with judges who share his ideological agenda and the Senate has no obligation to acquiesce in

Do you Yahoo!?

[The New Yahoo! Search](#) - Faster. Easier. Bingo.