

**Received(Date):** 11 JUN 2003 08:59:24  
**From:** Brett M. Kavanaugh ( CN=Brett M. Kavanaugh/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
**To:** Matthew A. Schlapp ( CN=Matthew A. Schlapp/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
**Subject:** : Re: Fw: from beth goodman

##### Begin Original ARMS Header #####  
RECORD TYPE: PRESIDENTIAL (NOTES MAIL)  
CREATOR: Brett M. Kavanaugh ( CN=Brett M. Kavanaugh/OU=WHO/O=EOP [ WHO ] )  
CREATION DATE/TIME: 11-JUN-2003 08:59:24.00  
SUBJECT: : Re: Fw: from beth goodman  
TO: Matthew A. Schlapp ( CN=Matthew A. Schlapp/OU=WHO/O=EOP@EOP [ WHO ] )  
READ: UNKNOWN  
##### End Original ARMS Header #####

In terms of comparison stats, we frame those this way.

1. In both the Bush 41 and Clinton Administration, too many appeals court nominees were held up at the end of the Administrations. In the Bush 41 Administration, only 19 of 29 appeals court nominees in the last two years were confirmed; in Clinton, it was 15 of 29. Neither was acceptable -- as this President Bush has explained.

2. In this Administration, the difference is that the Senate delays and obstruction started at the beginning of the Administration. In particular, only 53% of President Bush's appeals court nominees in his first 2 years (17 of 32) were confirmed compared to more than 90% (60 of 65 total) in the Clinton, Bush 41, and Reagan Presidencies combined during the first two years of those Presidencies. And now we are seeing unprecedented filibusters of multiple nominees.

Matthew A. Schlapp  
06/11/2003 06:38:46 AM  
Record Type: Record

To: Brett M. Kavanaugh/WHO/EOP@EOP  
cc:  
Subject: Fw: from beth goodman

Can I get help on this?

----- Forwarded by Matthew A. Schlapp/WHO/EOP on  
06/11/2003 06:38 AM -----

Jim Dyke - Communications <JDyke@rnchq.org>  
06/10/2003 08:00:36 PM  
Record Type: Record

To: Matthew A. Schlapp/WHO/EOP@EOP  
cc:  
Subject: Fw: from beth goodman

You have these?  
-----

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld (www.BlackBerry.net)

-----Original Message-----

From: Goodman, Beth [redacted] P6/b(6)  
To: Jim Dyke - Communications <JDyke@rnchq.org>  
Sent: Tue Jun 10 19:58:27 2003  
Subject: from beth goodman

Dear Jim,  
I am sorry to have bothered you with this at night.  
I'm hoping you can get a number for me tomorrow morning.  
Thanks again.

Beth

[redacted] P6/b(6)

1. When Clinton was President and Republicans controlled the Senate, they bottled up (TK) of Clinton's nominations in committee? (i've been told by Sen Leahy's office that the answer is over 50. )

2. When Democrats ran the Senate last year, they did the same to [tk #] of Bush's nominees?

do you have a figure for this?

Thanks Jim