

FOIA Marker

This is not a textual record. This FOIA Marker indicates that material has been removed during FOIA processing by George W. Bush Presidential Library staff.

Records Management, White House Office of

Subject Files - FG006-27 (Office of Senior Advisor - Karl Rove)

Stack:	Row:	Sect.:	Shelf:	Pos.:	FRC ID:	Location or Hollinger ID:	NARA Number:	OA Number:
W	11	3	11	2	9704	22567	10786	10728

Folder Title:

508575 [1]

Withdrawn/Redacted Material

The George W. Bush Library

DOCUMENT NO.	FORM	SUBJECT/TITLE	PAGES	DATE	RESTRICTION(S)
001	Letter	[Letter] - To: Karl Rove - From: Gordon Smith	1	04/29/2002	P6/b6;
002	Letter	[Letter] [with attachment] - To: Karl Rove - From: Marjorie M. Arsht	2	08/01/2002	P6/b6;
003	Handwritten Note	[Note] - To: Karl Rove - From: Diana Pascoe	1	07/24/2002	P6/b6;
004	Invitation	[Invitation] [with attachments] [pages 1-2]	2	08/06/2002	P6/b6;
005	Email	Possible OSI speakers - To: Karl Rove - From: Peter Wehner	5	07/30/2002	P5;

COLLECTION TITLE:

Records Management, White House Office of

SERIES:

Subject Files - FG006-27 (Office of Senior Advisor - Karl Rove)

FOLDER TITLE:

508575 [1]

FRC ID:

9704

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advice between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

Deed of Gift Restrictions

- A. Closed by Executive Order 13526 governing access to national security information.
- B. Closed by statute or by the agency which originated the document.
- C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

Records Not Subject to FOIA

Court Sealed - The document is withheld under a court seal and is not subject to the Freedom of Information Act.

XC: Bridgland
Gochlein 508575
FL00627



"Flood, Angela" <Angela.Flood@mail.house.gov>

01/25/2002 05:15:05 PM

Record Type: Record

To: Susan B. Ralston Senior Advisor/WHO/EOP@EOP

cc:

Subject: faith-based/charitable giving

Bridgland

F: Faith Based

Karl,

Congressman Souder asked me to forward to you the attached summary and language of legislation he introduced at the end of last session. He believes this is a functional compromise, one that he's already negotiated with Reps. Bobby Scott, Chet Edwards, and Jerry Nadler, who are original cosponsors along with Reps. Mark Green and Mark Kirk on our side. The Senate won't move without the core Dem groups in the House (e.g., Black Caucus, Jewish members, etc.), and Lieberman and Daschle are already talking with them. Mark feels that if we push any further than what's in this bill, the Dems will reject. Even hard-core conservatives are divided on the broader charitable choice issue (i.e., getting churches involved with government money), which we'll have to re-fight when we take up welfare reform reauthorization. Mark thinks we should pass the tax credit and technical assistance and scream victory. The bill consists of 2/3 of the Santorum proposal--Dems wouldn't agree to the other third (charitable choice).

If you want to discuss further, I can be reached at 225-4436, or you can call Amy Horton who's handling this at Mark's subcommittee at 225-2577.

Angela Flood
Chief of Staff
U. S. Rep. Mark Souder

- >
- > <<107-1.CC.HouseAltBill.overview2.memo.doc>>
- >
- > <<SOUDER_029.PDF>>



- att1.htm



- 107-1.CC.HouseAltBill.overview2.memo.doc



- SOUDER_029.PDF

80 pages - didn't print

Charitable Giving and Compassion Assistance Act of 2001

Overview (12/19/01)

Title I: Charitable Giving Incentives Package

Sec. 101 Charitable Deductions for Non-itemizers

Under current law, taxpayers who take the standard deduction (i.e., who do not itemize deductions) may not deduct charitable contributions. It is estimated that over 80 million taxpayers do not itemize.

This section permits individuals who do not itemize deductions on their income-tax returns to deduct from gross income contributions made in cash to charities in the amount of \$500 over ten years.

In the case of joint returns, the applicable amount is twice the amount for individuals listed above. While the Joint Tax Committee (JTC) has yet to determine a cost estimate for this provision, a more scaled-down version of this tax deduction found in H.R. 7 reduces revenue by \$6.4 Billion over 10 years.

Sec. 102 Tax-free Contributions from IRAs

Under current law, there are two types of individual retirement accounts (IRAs)- traditional IRAs and Roth IRAs. Withdrawals from a traditional IRA are taxable as part of one's gross income, while withdrawals from a Roth IRA generally are not taxable. However, in some cases, withdrawals from Roth IRAs are not considered "qualified" withdrawals and are taxable to the extent attributable to earnings.

The bill permits tax-free withdrawals from a traditional or Roth IRA for qualified charitable distribution. This provision would apply only to withdrawals that are otherwise taxable and made by those at least 70 years, 6 months old. Under the measure, the charitable contribution would have to be made directly to the charity, a charitable remainder annuity trust or charitable remainder trust, a pooled income fund or a charitable gift annuity.

The JTC estimates this section will reduce revenue by \$2.8 billion over 10 years

Sec. 103 Increase Cap on Corporate Deductions

This provision immediately increases the allowable percentage for corporate charitable giving to the following rates:

<u>Year</u>	<u>Applicable %</u>
2001 through 2005	15%
2006	17%
2007	19%
2008	21%

2009	23%
2010 and thereafter	25%

There has not been a cost estimate regarding this provision but the provision included in HR 7 reduces revenue by \$917 million over 10 years

Sec. 104 Deductions for Food and Book Inventory

Provides that any taxpayers engaged in trade or business would be eligible to claim an enhanced deduction for donations of food or book inventory.

The JTC estimates this provision (without books) to reduce revenue by \$626 million over 10 years.

Sec. 105 Reform Excise Tax on Private Foundations

Replaces the two rates of tax on net investment income of private foundations with one rate at one percent.

The JTC estimates the provision will reduce revenue by \$2.2 Billion over 10 years

Sec. 106 Modify Tax on Unrelated Business Taxable Income of Charitable Remainder Trusts

In lieu of removing the income tax exemption of a charitable remainder trust for any year in which the trust has any unrelated business taxable income, this provision would impose a 100% excise tax on the unrelated business taxable income of the trust. Because the effect of the excise tax would be the same as if the unrelated business taxable income had not been incurred by the charitable remainder annuity trust or charitable remainder unitrust, the provision would exclude such from the determination of:

- (1) the value of a charitable remainder unitrust's assets
- (2) the amount of charitable remainder unitrust income for purposes of determining the unitrust's required distributions
- (3) the effect on the income character of any distributions to beneficiaries by a charitable remainder annuity trust or charitable remainder unitrust

Sec. 108 Basis Adjustment to Stock of S-Corporation

Under present law, if an S corporation contributes money or other property to a charity, each shareholder takes into account the shareholder's pro rata share of the contribution in determining its own income tax liability. A shareholder of an S corporation must reduce the basis in the stock of the S corporation by the amount of the contribution that flows through to the shareholder.

The provision would allow a shareholder in an S Corporation to increase the basis of the S corporation stock by an equal to the excess of the charitable contribution deduction that flows through to the shareholder's pro rate share of the adjusted basis of the property contributed.

Title II. Individual Development Accounts (IDAs)

Purpose

To enable working-poor families to save, build wealth, and enter the financial mainstream through the use of Individual Development Accounts, or IDAs.

Authorization Level

\$1 m for FY'03 through FY'09; available until expended; for implementation of the act (reporting, monitoring, and evaluation)

Details

IDAs are matched savings accounts restricted to three uses:

- receiving post-secondary education or training
- buying a first home
- starting or expanding a small business

Individual and matching deposits are not co-mingled; Individual contributions are after-tax dollars; all matching dollars are kept in a separate, parallel account, and those dollars and earnings thereon are tax-free. When the account holder has accumulated enough savings and matching funds to purchase the asset (typically over two to four years), and has completed a financial education course, payments from the IDA will be made directly to the asset provider.

IDAs would be available to citizens or legal residents of the U.S. between the ages of 18 and 60, and whose federal AGI does not exceed \$20,000 (single), \$30,000 (head of household), or \$40,000 (married). Eligible individuals may use their IDA for the benefit of a spouse or dependent. All IDAs must be held at a qualified financial institution, which is any financial institution eligible to hold an IRA.

Qualified financial institutions, non-profits (501(c)(3)s, Community Development Financial Institutions, credit unions, and *public housing agencies*), and Tribes would be eligible to run a qualified IDA program.

Savings from any source would be matched on a 1-1 basis, up to \$500 per person per year. Individual contributions into an IDA would not be limited. Both private sector and non-federal public funds could also be contributed to the accounts and matched in accordance with ratios set by the providers.

Financial institutions (or their contractual affiliates) would be reimbursed for all matching funds provided plus a limited amount of the program and administrative costs incurred. Specifically, the IDA Tax Credit would be the aggregate amount of all dollar-for-dollar matches provided (up to \$500 per person per year) plus a *\$50 per account credit for accounts with balances of at least \$100*. The tax credits would be available between the years 2003-2009 for all accounts opened by the end of 2007.

Title III. EZ Pass Recognition of Section 501(c)(3) Status

Requires the Secretary of the Treasury to adopt procedures to expedite consideration of a qualified organization's (community-based or faith-based) application for tax-exempt status under 501(c)(3) of IRS Code. Expedited procedures are limited to organizations whose primary purpose is providing social services for the poor and needy and employ no more than 4 full-time employees with an annual social service budget of not more than \$300,000.

This title would also require the Secretary of the Treasury to develop model articles of incorporation, model bylaws and model application for 501(c)(3) for these small nongovernmental organizations. In addition, the Secretary would be required to provide a list to qualified small nongovernmental organizations of organizations funded under the Compassion Capital Fund (Title VI) that provide legal assistance with incorporation or tax exempt status.

Title IV. Grants for Mentoring Children of Incarcerated Parents

Purpose

To provide grants to local governments in areas where there is a substantial population of incarcerated parents. These grants will support the establishment; expansion and/or operation of public/private community organizations that offer mentoring services to children of incarcerated parents. This is a Presidential priority item.

Details

*To be eligible, the appropriate local government official must apply to HHS.

*Application requirements include:

- 1) a description of the program design,
- 2) a demonstration that the potential grantee will appropriately coordinate with all relevant federal, state and local government officials, as well as private community organizations,
- 3) assurances that all eligible public and private groups can participate on an equal basis,
- 4) assurance that grants under this section will not be used to replace funding for existing services derived from other sources, and
- 5) the usual record keeping, audit, report and evaluation requirements.

*The federal share of a program's total costs is restricted as follows:

80% for the first fiscal year of the grant
60% in the second fiscal year
40% in the third
20% for each fiscal year thereafter

*Amounts of grants are restricted as follows:

2/3 of individual grant amounts are capped at \$5 million
1/3 can be an amount up to \$10 million

*The program is authorized from FY '02 through FY '06.

*FY '02 authorization level is \$67 million & such sums as necessary for FY '03 - '06.

Title V. Maternity Group Homes

Purpose

Under the Runaway and Homeless Youth Act, grant funds are available to eligible applicants to establish programs and provide services to runaway and homeless youths.

This provision will expand the permissible uses of grants under this law to include maternity group homes.

Details

*"Maternity group home" is defined as a community-based, adult-supervised group home that provides young mothers and their children with a supportive and supervised living arrangement.

*Under this arrangement, mothers are required to learn parenting skills, including child development, family budgeting, health and nutrition, and other skills to promote their long-term economic independence and the well being of their children.

*Requires the Secretary to contract with a public or private entity to evaluate the homes supported by grants under this program. The usual reporting requirements apply.

*Maternity Group Homes program is authorized for FY '02 and FY '03.

*Authorization levels are as follows:

\$33 million for FY '02

Such sums as necessary for FY '03

Notes

Amends the Transitional Living Grant Program under 42 USC 5714-2.

Title VI. Compassion Capital Fund

- Authorizes the Secretary of HHS, the Corporation for National Service (AmeriCorps), the Attorney General, and the Secretary of HUD to award grants or enter into cooperative agreements with nongovernmental organizations to provide technical assistance to small community based organizations.

- Small CBOs are defined as up to 4 full-time employees and an annual budget of no more than \$300,000.
- Technical assistance includes the following services:
 - (1) Grant writing and grant management assistance;
 - (2) Legal assistance with incorporation or assistance to obtain tax-exempt status;
 - (3) Information on and referrals to other nongovernmental organizations that provide expertise in accounting, legal issues, tax issues, program development, and other organizational topics;
 - (4) Information and assistance on capacity building;
 - (5) Information on and assistance in identifying and using best practices for delivering assistance to individuals and communities in need;
 - (6) Information on and assistance in utilizing regional intermediary organizations to increase and strengthen capabilities of community based organizations;
 - (7) Replicating social service programs of demonstrated effectiveness
 - (8) Research on the best practices of social service organizations.
- Limits grants and cooperative agreements to one per community-based organization.
- Authorization levels per department/agency:

HHS	\$89 M for '02 and such sums for '03 through '06
Americorps	\$15 M for '02 and such sums for '03 through '06
DoJ	\$35 M for '02 and such sums for '03 through '06
HUD	\$15 M for '02 and such sums for '03 through '06
- Total Authorization = \$154 M for '02 and such sums thereafter, which is the same as the Senate Compromise draft.
- Previous funding levels for technical assistance:
 - President's Budget Request \$ 89 M for FY02
 - Senate Labor/HHS bill \$89 M for FY02
 - House Labor/HHS bill \$30 M for FY02
 - HR 7 \$25 M (introduced)
 - HR 7 \$50 M (with Scott amendment)
- Explicitly prohibits the use of funds under the title from being used to provide social services.

OK
508575
FC006-27

Memorandum

To: Karl Rove, Senior Advisor to the President
CC: Lezlee Westine, Director -Office of Public Liaison
From: Abel Guerra & Leonard Rodriguez
Date: 04/01/02
Re: Cinco de Mayo

Cinco de Mayo

History/Background

The origination for Cinco De Mayo, *The Fifth of May*, commemorates the defeat of the French Army by the Mexicans at The Battle Of Puebla in 1862. The holiday is celebrated throughout the Mexican State of Puebla and in many U.S. cities with a significant Mexican-American population.

Last Year at the White House

Cinco de Mayo was celebrated with a historic Fiesta on the South Lawn. Over 350 guests celebrated with President and Mrs. Bush as Mariachis, Ballet Folklorico and recording artists Pablo Montero and Talia performed. Guests also enjoyed traditional Mexican snacks prepared by the White House Chef. The event was emceed by Don Francisco and featured on his show "Sabado Gigante", the highest rated Spanish language television show in America.

This Year at the White House

May 1st-5th

- Display the work of Mexican Artists throughout the East Wing. Similar to the White House Christmas poster, we would commission an artist to design original work that will commemorate Cinco de Mayo at the White House, 2002. Poster copies of the original design will be distributed to guests and supporters.

May 3rd

- A Cinco de Mayo reception held on the State Floor with POTUS, FLOTUS and 300 guests. POTUS would give brief remarks followed by a performance by recording artist Alejandro Fernandez and a "to be determined" Mexican-American Poet/writer who will read an inspirational piece honoring the Mexican culture. Additional guests would include, Hispanic celebrities, athletes, academics, military and new political supporters.

May 5th

- T-Ball on the South Lawn will feature two predominately Hispanic teams from the NY/NJ area. The little-leaguers will celebrate Cinco de Mayo playing America's favorite pastime. A tbd Latino recording artist will perform the national anthem while the "play by play and color commentary" will be provided in English and Spanish by ESPN personalities. Hispanic athletes/celebrities will help coach 1st and 3rd base during the game.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Determined to be an
Administrative Marking
Per E.O. 13526, SEC 3.4(B)

508575
FG 006-27



EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

EXEC. OFC. PRESIDENT
WH STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

CHAIRMAN

June 13, 2002

2002 JUN 13 PM 3:44

*discussed w/
Andy Card 6/13/02*

INFORMATION

TO: ANDREW H. CARD, JR.
CHIEF OF STAFF

FROM: JAMES L. CONNAUGHTON 

SUBJECT: Forest Health, Wildfires

Heightened media reporting on wildfires in the West may counsel in favor of a White House response or statement in the near future.

The severe drought conditions in the West render this summer prone to exceptional wildfires. As of yesterday, 33,806 fires have burned an estimated 1.4 million acres this year. This burned acreage outstrips the pace set in 2000—the worst year in modern history, and is twice the 10-year average of acres burned by this date.

The Hayman Fire in Colorado, near Denver, is currently the largest. That fire has consumed about 90,000 acres, led to the evacuation of 6,000 residences, and burned at least 21 homes. It is the largest wildfire in Colorado's history. Secretary Norton, FEMA Director Joe Allbaugh, and Forest Service Chief Dale Bosworth have been on site. The Hayman Fire is not yet under control—it is only 5 percent contained.

A factor that significantly increases the risk and magnitude of wildfires is poor forest health, reflected in increased tree density and dead wood—known as fuel loads—on public lands. Prior forest management largely focused on fire suppression, rather than fire avoidance in populated areas and fire management in wildland areas.

The Administration is responding aggressively to the Hayman Fire with fire crews, equipment, and funding. More generally, the President has directed USDA and DOI—with federal responsibility for fighting fires—to focus on forest health, including thinning projects and prescribed burns. To that end, USDA, DOI, the Western Governors Association and others recently developed a 10-year comprehensive strategy to protect communities and natural resources from wildfires. Two weeks ago, Secretary Norton and Secretary Veneman reached agreement with the Western Governors on the implementation plan for that strategy, which includes the goals of reducing fuel loads, restoring fire-adapted ecosystems, and promoting community self-help. The extent of the land in need of treatment necessarily renders this a long-term project.

cc: Karl Rove
Josh Bolten
Mitch Daniels
Larry Lindsey
Margaret Spellings
John H. Marburger III
Jay Lefkowitz
Ken Mehlman
Dan Bartlett
Barry Jackson
Ruben Barrales
Edward Ingle
Marcus Peacock
Kristen Silverberg
Karen Knutson

~~Tom~~
As you can see, this
was among the Rove
material -

Jerry

508578
FG 006-27
RNC



TRAVEL AUTHORIZATION

WHITE HOUSE OFFICE

JUN 20 AM 9:11

DATE OF REQUEST: 6/19/02

TRAVEL AUTHORIZATION NUMBER: T2B01163 ✓

Please Print

Last Name Rove		First Name Karl	
Extension: 6-2369	Room: WW-2	Title: Senior Advisor	Office: OSI
Contact Person: <i>please print</i> Susan Ralston		Extension: 6-2369	

ATTACHMENTS:

- Invitation/Documentation Regarding Event
- Travel Expenses From Outside Sources Form

PURPOSE OF TRAVEL (include event dates and host information, if applicable):

Meeting w/ Sec. Jim Baker and RNC officials in Houston and Austin

DEPARTURE:

Date: Tues, 6/25/02
Time: 11:30 AM
Mode: CO 759

RETURN:

Date: Wed, 6/26/02
Time: 7:45 AM
Mode: SW 1454

ITINERARY (List all cities including those through which flights are routed):

Origin: DCA on CO 759 to Houston Geo. Bush

Destination: Houston on SW 1964 to Austin, TX

Return to: Austin, TX on SW 1454 through Houston to BWI

FUNDING SOURCE:

- Official
- Political
- Mixed
- 501 (c)(3)
- Other: _____

NOTE: For travel funded by political, 501(c)(3) or other sources, an approved "Travel Expenses From Outside Source" form must be attached.

SPECIAL EXPENSES

Hotel: \$130.00	} RNC to pay	Per Diem: _____	Privately Owned Vehicle: _____
Air Fare: \$1,308.00		Taxi: _____	Standard Vehicle Rental: _____
Train Fare: _____		Mini-Van Rental: _____	TOTAL ESTIMATED EXPENSES: \$1,438.00 \$0.00

APPROVING SIGNATURES:

Office Head: *[Signature]*

Chief of Staff or Designee: *[Signature]*

White House Management: *[Signature]* 6-20-02

Political Travel: *[Signature]*

ok
Kmp



TRAVEL EXPENSES FROM OUTSIDE SOURCE

WHITE HOUSE OFFICE

2002 JUN 20 PM 3:59

In order to consider whether the Government may accept from an outside source payment of your travel, subsistence and related expenses under the GSA travel rule or 5 C.F.R. 2635.204(f), you must complete the information below. You must submit this form and related documentation and receive approval PRIOR to commencement of travel. Failure to receive PRIOR approval may result in you having to pay the travel expenses yourself. The outside source need not be a 501(c)(3) organization, but if it is, please state so on this form and include the IRS determination letter.

CONTACT PERSON: Susan Ralston
PHONE NUMBER: 6-2369
DATE OF REQUEST: 6/19/02

Please include a copy of the letter of invitation.

Your Name and Position:
Karl C. Rove, Senior Advisor

Nature of Meeting or Event: Official Political

Meeting or Event Description and HOW IT RELATES TO YOUR OFFICIAL OR POLITICAL DUTIES:
Meeting w/ Sec. Baker and RNC officials

Dates of Travel: Tues, 6/25 - Wed, 6/26 Destination(s): Houston and Austin, TX

Persons or Entity Making The Payment (please also note any financial interests of the person or entity known to you that may be affected by the exercise of your Government responsibilities):
Republican National Committee

Contact at Organization Making the Payment: Jack Oliver

Address of Organization: 310 First Street, SE
Washington, DC 20003

Phone: 202-863-8552

Expense:	Amount:	Method:
Transportation (specify): Airfare	\$1,308.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In Kind <input type="checkbox"/> Reimbursable <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Political Only)
Lodging: Hotel	\$130.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In Kind <input type="checkbox"/> Reimbursable <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Political Only)
Meals:		<input type="checkbox"/> In Kind <input type="checkbox"/> Reimbursable <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Political Only)
Misc. (specify):		<input type="checkbox"/> In Kind <input type="checkbox"/> Reimbursable <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Political Only)

*Payment may be made either in-kind or by check made payable to the U.S. Treasury; you may not directly receive payment by either cash or a check made out to you.

This form and any accompanying memorandum of approval must be attached to your travel authorization. You MUST complete a travel voucher listing all expenses (regardless of payment method) following the trip.

Please send this form to the Counsel's Office (Room 128, EEOB) at least three (3) days before commencement of travel

TO BE COMPLETED BY COUNSEL'S OFFICE
Approved: [Signature] Disapproved: _____
Date: 6/20/02
Phone: 202-863-8552



MACNAIR TRAVEL MGMT/AMERICAN EXPRESS
202-496-9300
DESTINATION - EXCELLENCE



www.virtuallythere.com

[Print This Page](#) [Close Window](#)

Trip Plans for: **KARL ROVE**

Reservation Code: **FMVFGJ**

Travel Arranger Comments :

Important Notes: DUE TO HEIGHTENED SECURITY AT U.S. AIRPORTS,
ALLOW AT LEAST 2HRS CHECK-IN TIME FOR DOMESTIC FLTS
ELECTRONIC TICKET - PRESENT PHOTO I.D. AT CHECK-IN

Flights

Tue, Jun 25 SOUTHWEST AIRLINES, WN 1964

From: HOUSTON HOBBY, TX (HOU)

Departs: 6:35pm

To: AUSTIN, TX (AUS)

Arrives: 7:15pm

Class: Economy

Seat: Check-In Required

Status: Confirmed

Confirmation:

Meal:

Smoking: No

Aircraft: BOEING 737-300 JET

Mileage: 148

Flight Time: 40 minutes

Notes: WINDOW SEAT ASSIGNED / AISLE SEAT NOT AVAILABLE.

ADVANCE SEAT ASSIGNMENT NOT AVAILABLE AT THE TIME OF BOOKING.

Verify Flight Times Prior To Departure

Wed, Jun 26 SOUTHWEST AIRLINES, WN 1454

From: AUSTIN, TX (AUS)

Departs: 7:45am

To: HOUSTON HOBBY, TX (HOU)

Arrives: 8:30am

Class: Economy

Seat: Check-In Required

Status: Confirmed

Confirmation:

Meal:

Smoking: No

Aircraft: BOEING 737-300 JET

Mileage: 148

Flight Time: 45 minutes

Notes: ADVANCE SEAT ASSIGNMENT NOT AVAILABLE AT THE TIME OF BOOKING

Verify Flight Times Prior To Departure

Wed, Jun 26 SOUTHWEST AIRLINES, WN 1685

From: HOUSTON HOBBY, TX (HOU)
 To: BALTIMORE WASHNTN, MD (BWI)

Departs: 9:40am
 Arrives: 1:30pm
 Seat: Check-In Required

Class: Economy
 Status: Confirmed

Confirmation:
 Smoking: No
 Mileage: 1240

Meal:
 Aircraft: BOEING 737-700 JET

Flight Time: 2 hours and 50 minutes

Verify Flight Times Prior To Departure

Car

Tue, Jun 25 - Wed, Jun 26 AVIS RENT A CAR

Pick Up: AUSTIN, TX (AUS) Pick Up Date/Time: Jun 25/7:15pm
 Drop Off: AUSTIN, TX (AUS) Drop Off Date/Time: Jun 26/7:45am

Car Type: Full Size Automatic AC Car(s): 1
 Status: Confirmed Confirmation: 14493617US5PEXP

Rate Info: Guaranteed Rate Code: 2A

Client ID #: YB767A Corp Discount #:

Telephone: Fax:

Rate Plan For: 1 Days, 0 Hours	USD	MI/KM	Extra MI/KM
Daily	59.39	UNL	0.00
Extra Hour	19.80	UNL	0.00
Extra Day	59.39	UNL	0.00
Mandatory Charges	19.60		
Approx Total Price	78.99	UNL	0.00

Hotel & Lodging

HILTON HOTELS HILTON AUSTIN AIRPORT

Address: 9515 NEW AIRPORT DRIVE
 AUSTIN TX 78719

Check In: Jun 25
 Check Out: Jun 26
 FAX: 512-385-6763

Phone: 512-385-6767

Room Type: AMERICAN EXPRESS TRAVEL

Room(s): 1

Status: Confirmed

Rate: 139.00 USD / night

Confirmation: 3142718849

Cancellation: Cancel by 4:00pm on day of arrival to avoid a penalty.

Special Request: NON SMOKING

Notes: WINDOW SEAT ASSIGNED / AISLE SEAT NOT AVAILABLE.
 ADVANCE SEAT ASSIGNMENT NOT AVAILABLE AT THE TIME OF BOOKING.

Other

Thu, Oct 3

City: WASHINGTON, DC (WAS)

Status: Confirmed


Information: MACNAIR TRAVEL VALUES YOUR BUSINESS - DENISE FRASER

Arranger Remarks

Notes: VISIT OUR WEBSITE AT WWW.MACNAIRTRAVEL.COM
EMERGENCY TRAVEL ASSISTANCE OUTSIDE OUR OFFICE HOURS -
CALL *AFTER HOURS* NUMBER 866-360-5630 USE CODE SO705
ADDITIONAL SERVICE FEES APPLY FOR AFTER HOUR CALLS
YOUR E-TICKET RECEIPT IS AVAILABLE TO PRINT IN 24 HOURS

[Close Window](#)

The Sabre logo design, Sabre and Virtually There are trademarks of an affiliate of Sabre Inc. © 2002 Sabre Inc. All rights reserved. Patent Pending. All other marks are trademarks of their respective owners. Sabre Inc. is not responsible for content on external Web sites.



For your
Files
Thanks!

508575
FG006-27



WILLFUL DECEIT
IS THE ONLY OPTION

DISCOBOLOS CONSULTING SERVICES, INC.

• Political Consulting • Strategic Public Relations • Research • Evaluation • Training

JOHN M. CURTIS, Ph.D., Director

Tel (310) 204-8700
Fax (310) 440-0016
www.onlinetocolumbi.com
e-mail - drjmcurtis@aol.com

FAX TRANSMITTAL

DATE: 6-26-02 URGENT PLEASE REPLY


TO: KARL ROVE FAX# (202) 456-0191

FROM: JOHN CURTIS FAX#

RE: F.Y.I.

PAGES: 3 / W COVER

MSG: THOUGHT YOU'D LIKE TO SEE THIS!

John Curtis


Notice of Confidentiality:-The message transmitted via facsimile is only for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this fax is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this confidential communication-by whatever means-is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Thank you for your cooperation.

BUSH OUT OF THE BOX © 2002 J.M. Curtis

1

BUSH OUT OF THE BOX

Copyright June 25, 2002

[All Rights Reserved]**JOHN M. CURTIS**

(310) 204-8700

Breaking new ground, President George W. Bush charted a bold course for Middle East peace, calling for a top-down overhaul of the Palestinian political machine. Bucking prevailing wisdom—including Yasser Arafat and the Palestinian Authority—the White House concluded that the path to peace didn't go through Ramallah. Former President Clinton found out the hard way watching Arafat shred the 1993 Oslo Accords, resort to violence and launch his "save Al Aqsa" intifada in Sept. 2000. Under Bush's steady hand, U.S. policy turned an unmistakable corner Sept. 11, unwilling to tolerate "terrorism" for any reason or rationale. Arafat couldn't change directions, euphemistically calling suicide bombing "heroic resistance." No matter whom Bush sent to the Middle East, special envoy Admiral Anthony Zinni, Vice President Dick Cheney, Secretary of State Colin A. Powell and Secretary of Defense Donald H. Rumsfeld, they were met with unending suicide bombing. Prescribing the "Bush Doctrine," the president had no other choice but calling for new leadership.

World leaders haven't caught up with changes in U.S. foreign policy since 9-11. "Peace requires a new and different Palestinian leadership so that a Palestinian state could be born," said Bush, reversing 40 years of U.S. policy, unwilling to draw an unequivocal line against violence. Sept. 11 brought into clear focus that terrorism threatens not just Israel but now the United States. "I call on the Palestinian people to elect new leaders not compromised by terror," alluding to Arafat's role in encouraging terrorism, despite slick public relations. Palestinians can't have it both ways: Both condemning terrorism and legitimizing it as a form of resistance. Nor does it work to blame Israel for dismantling Palestinian security forces or implicate a litany of independent radical groups. Despite denials, compelling documents found in Arafat's compound placed his signature on pay invoices for terrorists. When Secretary of State Powell went to Ramallah, he gave Arafat an ultimatum: End the suicide bombing or go it without the U.S.

U.S. Middle East policy now sends an unambiguous message to Palestinian leadership: Suicide bombing, guerrilla war and armed struggle won't win a Palestinian state. Whether Arafat orchestrates suicide bombings or has no control of radical groups indicates that he's no longer in command. "Finally, you have to say something has to change, something has to be different," said an unnamed White House official, signaling that Bush broke new ground. Few experts expected a radical departure from the typical State Department platitude that "it takes two to tango." Bush's doctrine makes the deliberate slaughter of innocents totally unacceptable. "President Arafat was elected by the Palestinian people in a direct election . . . And President Bush must respect the choice of the Palestinian people," said Palestinian Cabinet Ministers Saeb Erekat, failing to mention that Arafat was the only candidate on the slate. Even well meaning diplomats can't speak their minds under a tyrannical grip.

Bush's new policy dashes hopes of a Palestinian state without sweeping reforms, including democratizing its institutions. "A Palestinian state will never be created by terror. It will be built through reform," said Bush, reminding critics that there can be no compromise when it comes to terror. "The president has set U.S. foreign policy and U.S. Arab relations back," said James J. Zoby, president of the Arab American Institute, demonstrating how American lobbying groups are hijacked by foreign interests. Bush's policy doesn't set back U.S. foreign policy or Arab-American relations: It establishes moral clarity for stepping into the future. Zoby's lost in Palestinian propaganda, unable to see the bigger picture in the war on terrorism. Even the United Nations seems muddled in Middle East politics. "The issue of who should lead the Palestinian people is one that only the Palestinian people can decide," said U.N. Secretary-General Kofi Annan, failing to get Bush's message. Palestinians may choose Arafat and suicide bombing, but they won't get a state subsidized by the U.S.

Renouncing terrorism is only one part of Bush's plan calling for more accountability and democratic reforms. Before the latest revolt, the Palestinian Authority was in financial ruins, unable to pay its bills. With the current mismanagement, it's difficult to imagine the U.S., Europe, World Bank or International Monetary fund handing over more cash without outside supervision. "When the Palestinian people have new leaders, new institutions and new security arrangements with their neighbors, the United States of America will support a Palestinian state . . ." said Bush, setting clear parameters for future discussions. Palestinian, Arab, and European leaders must come to grips with how the U.S. changed since Sept. 11. With 3,000 U.S. citizens exterminated by terrorism, the U.S. can't accept any excuse for civilian carnage. Palestinian leaders - and those sympathizers in the U.S. - must fully comprehend Bush's message that terror won't win political concessions.


Still reeling from the harsh reality of Bush's speech, the Palestinian Authority must now make an all out push to fight terrorism and begin reforms. Arafat must convene his cabinet and take a searching inventory for fresh leadership. Having badly failed the Palestinian people, it's time to pass the baton to new leaders capable of accepting Bush's prescription for peace. "The hatred of a few holds the hopes of many hostage," said Bush, inviting Palestinians to begin the painful process of reform. Having crashed the ship of state, Arafat should quietly step aside and allow moderate elements to fix the damage. Bush "showed strong moral leadership by acknowledging that the path to peace doesn't run through Arafat's compound," said conservative House Majority Whip Tom Delay (R-Texas), echoing both sides of the aisle. While some grumble that Bush took sides, his plan is the only practical step to find a workable solution to the Middle East crisis. Many opportunities have already been missed. But the worst sin would be to backslide and make the same mistakes all over again.

About the Author

John M. Curtis is editor of OnlineColumnist.com and columnist for the Los Angeles Daily Journal. He directs a Los Angeles think tank specializing in corporate consulting and strategic communication. He's author of *Dodging The Bullet* and *Operation Charisma*.

508575
FG 006-27

FILE: ACU



Tim Goeglein
01/23/2002 11:17:27 AM

Record Type: Record

To: Karl C. Rove/WHO/EOP@EOP
cc:
Subject: Mrs. Cheney

K-

This is the email I just received from ACU's Christian Josi, which I think important you read.

Warmly

tsg

----- Forwarded by Tim Goeglein/WHO/EOP on 01/23/2002 11:16 AM -----



Christian Josi <cjosi@conservative.org>
01/23/2002 09:45:35 AM

Please respond to cjosi@conservative.org

Record Type: Record

To: Tim Goeglein/WHO/EOP@EOP
cc:
Subject: Mrs. Cheney

Tim:

It is great that Mrs. Cheney is coming, if you would send her contact info we'll get a confirmation / detail letter out.

However, she was invited because she is Lynne Cheney--not as a substitute for POTUS/VPOTUS/ Rumsfeld.

Basically, after more that 2 years of excuses re GWB, and specifically eight months of promises from Rove to David--promises that have now been broken--we are very sad by the way things have turned out, and disappointed that thousands of activists from around the country who love this president and have been the strongest supporters of this

administration you'll find anywhere, won't have a chance to hear from him.....or the VP.....or Rumsfeld. And they'll scratch their heads and find it funny that you haul him out before Labor Unions and NAACP conventions at every opportunity and name federal buildings after Kennedys, and wonder why they can't even get a glimpse of him when they come to town.

So we're just tired of it, Tim, and more than a little insulted. David is outraged and with good reason. He was lied to.....and no one even had the courtesy to call him once your final decisions were made.

We've got a conference to put on next week....our biggest and probably our best ever. Your decisions have been made, and as far as I am concerned, we have nothing further to talk about. I am holding dinner tickets for you and Matt, and you are still more than welcome to attend as my guests.

Christian Josi
Executive Director
American Conservative Union
www.conservative.org
cjosi@conservative.org

"I have always viewed the CPAC conference as my chance to 'dance with the one that brung ya...'"

-President Ronald Reagan, who attended 14 CPACS, including 6 of the 8 years he served as POTUS

508575
FC 006-27



EXEC. OFC. PRESIDENT
WH STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

2002 JUN 19 PM 1:36

May 6, 2002

Mr. Karl Rove
White House Senior Advisor
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, D.C.

Dear Karl:

Thank you for taking the time to meet with me and members of our campaign staff during our visit to Washington, D.C. I know there are tremendous demands for your time, and I appreciate the opportunity to discuss Jim Ryan's candidacy for Illinois Governor with you. We have much to gain from White House involvement in our campaign. Your support is a tremendous encouragement, and I appreciate your willingness to share your insights about our campaign strategy and our fundraising program.

We are grateful to President Bush for taking the time when he is in Chicago on May 13th to lend his support to our efforts. With your assistance, I am confident that we will win in November and help put Illinois back in the Republican column in 2004.

Thank you again for your time. I look forward to working with you as we move toward victory in November.

Sincerely,

Stephen J. Culliton
Campaign Director

www.jimryan2002.com

508575
FG006-27

Gordon Smith

U n i t e d S t a t e s S e n a t o r

April 29, 2002

The Honorable Karl Rove
Senior Advisor to The President
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Karl,

Mr. J. W. Marriott, Jr. and his wife Donna have graciously agreed to host an event in June on my behalf at their home in Bethesda, Maryland. It is my sincere hope that you can attend this important to assist my efforts toward re-election.

The event will take place on June 13th from 6:00pm to 9:00pm. There will be a private reception, followed by a general reception. The Marriott's address is:

(b)(6)

As you know, the Marriotts are true friends of our party, and I have known them for many years. Your participation would help this event achieve our substantial fundraising goals. I know the demands on your time are enormous, and I thank you in advance for your consideration.

Bill and Donna join me in hoping that you will be able to assist us in my re-election efforts. If you have questions or need additional information, please contact Rachel Pearson of my campaign at (202) 367-1326.

Sincerely,



Gordon Smith

~~Call & let them know we just got this & to fax in future.~~

EX-100
MAY 24 11 5:27 AM '02

508575
FG006-2

Marjorie M. Arsht

P.O. Box 818
Bellaire, Texas 77402

NO RESPONSE
NECESSARY

August 1, 2002

By Fax 202 456 0191

Dear Karl:

The attached page was written over a month ago. I forgot that mail to the White House is now virtually undeliverable. The contents of the letter are slightly out of date.

More to the point: I wish there were another spokesman for the Economic team. When they passed out "personality" chips they skipped Mr. O'Neil. We need a Rumsfeld for the Sunday shows or even Mitch Daniels who exudes confidence.

The White House pictures have come and they are wonderful except for my bulging midriff and the fact we didn't take one with you.

Thanks for all you and Susan did.

Love and a hug,

Marjorie

*Just remembered I wrote the President, too -
Oh well -*

(b)(6)

Marjorie M. Arsht

P.O. Box 818
Bellaire, Texas 77402

June 26, 2002

Mr. Karl Rove
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington D.C. 20500

My dear Karl:

It was wonderful seeing you again, particularly since I really don't know how many trips like that are in store for me. I hoped my emphysema wasn't showing. If I was breathing hard when we got to the Oval Office it wasn't just because I was so pleased to be there. John Culberson pushed me around the East Wing in a wheel chair. At least I got to see the White House kitchen.

I really did regret our visit with you was so brief. I wanted to talk about this new love affair with my co-religionists and the Republican Party. Until the White House Speech on the Middle East, I was extremely skeptical about this new "move-over," and whether or not it would translate into votes in Texas this November, but now I suspect we are going to see another 1928.

As the historian you are, you will know that in 1928 Al Smith came out strongly against the Ku Klux Klan, while Hoover equivocated. Prior to that election Jews, primarily located in the Northeast, were Republicans, because there is safety in numbers, and Jews are endemically, a fearful people. (Somehow I escaped.)

But in 1928 they "moved over" en masse, and in the next election of 1932, Roosevelt sealed the pact with his mantra of "Freedom from fear" which was music to fearful Jews. And they have stayed with the Democrats ever since.

You will forgive me if I chuckle at the union of Jews and Tom Delay. What a marriage! But there is no doubt there is a rumble. Alan sees it in New York, the most Jewish of Jewish places. And I see it with my Orthodox Jewish doctor who wants to join some "Republican Jewish group." I told Fred Zeidman to call him.

As you know the Jewish vote is small, but like a rock in a pond it has a ripple effect. I call that Christian guilt. I know people who feel that if the Jews vote for it, it must be all right. They are sometimes very wrong.

In any case, thank you for taking time out from your busy schedule. My grandchildren and great grandchildren were paralyzed with awe. As I always am, too.

With affection,

Marjorie

(b)(6)

508575
FL006-27

NO RETIRED
NEEDS

TEXAS CIVIL JUSTICE LEAGUE
401 WEST 15TH, SUITE 975
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701
512-320-0474
512-474-4334 FAX
info@tcjl.com EMAIL
www.tcjl.com

July 31, 2002

To: Friends of George Christian

From: Ralph Wayne

Our mutual friend George Christian continues to amaze all of us. He looks absolutely great!

Earlier this summer, he and JoAnne spent two weeks in London and outlying areas and then returned home to Austin for a week. Following that respite, they left again and spent two weeks in the Czech Republic, Poland, Germany, and other countries. They returned a few days ago and George is already back in the office.

As always, your support continues to help. Please keep George, JoAnne and the family in your prayers.

FILE

508575
FG006-27

1724 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20009
(202) 234-5570

Interviews: 1,014 adults
Dates: July 19-21, 2002

FINAL

Study #6026
NBC/WSJ Survey
July 2002

48 Male
52 Female
[109]

Please note: all results are shown as percentages unless otherwise stated.

The margin of error for 1,014 interviews is ±3.1%

Unless otherwise noted by a "+", all previous data shown reflects responses among all adults.

1. All in all, do you think that things in the nation are generally headed in the right direction, or do you feel that things are off on the wrong track?

	7/02	6/02	4/02	1/02	12/01	9/01	6/01	4/01	High 9/01	Low 7/92+	
Right direction	40	52	53	62	70	72	43	47	72	14	[138]
Wrong track	42	31	28	20	15	11	39	39	11	71	
Mixed (VOL)	14	14	15	14	12	11	14	11	11	9	
Not sure	4	3	4	4	3	6	4	3	6	6	
		3/01	1/01	12/00	10/00+	9/00+	7/00+	6/00+	4/00+		
		52	45	39	48	51	48	44	44		
		32	36	43	32	29	32	38	34		
		11	15	14	18	16	17	15	19		
		5	4	4	2	4	3	3	3		

+ Results shown reflect responses among registered voters.

2a. In general, do you approve or disapprove of the job George W. Bush is doing as president?

	7/02	6/02	5/02	4/02	1/02	12/01	
Approve	67	69	75	74	82	85	[139]
Disapprove	27	23	18	20	13	11	
Not sure	6	8	7	6	5	4	
		11/01	9/01	6/01	4/01	3/01	
		88	82	50	56	57	
		7	12	35	30	22	
		5	6	15	14	21	

2b. Do you generally approve or disapprove of the job George W. Bush is doing in handling the economy?

	<u>7/02</u>	<u>6/02</u>	<u>4/02</u>	<u>1/02</u>	<u>12/01</u>	
Approve	49	57	61	63	63	[140]
Disapprove	43	34	30	27	25	
Not sure	8	9	9	10	12	
		<u>6/01</u>	<u>4/01</u>	<u>3/01</u>		
		50	51	52		
		38	33	27		
		12	16	21		

2c. In general, do you approve or disapprove of the job George W. Bush is doing in handling our foreign policy?

	<u>7/02*</u>	<u>6/02</u>	<u>4/02</u>	<u>1/02</u>	<u>12/01</u>	
Approve	62	64	68	81	82	[141]
Disapprove	28	28	26	13	12	
Not sure	10	8	6	6	6	
		<u>6/01</u>	<u>4/01</u>	<u>3/01</u>		
		50	63	53		
		32	26	20		
		18	11	27		

* Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM A).

3a. When it comes to dealing with the war on terrorism, do you approve or disapprove of the job George W. Bush is doing?

	<u>7/02</u>	<u>6/02</u>	<u>5/02</u>	<u>4/02</u>	
Approve	73	75	75	76	[142]
Disapprove	22	20	18	19	
Not sure	5	5	7	5	

3b. When it comes to dealing with domestic issues here at home, do you approve or disapprove of the job George W. Bush is doing?

	<u>7/02**</u>	<u>6/02</u>	<u>4/02</u>	
Approve	57	58	59	[143]
Disapprove	35	32	32	
Not sure	8	10	9	

** Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM B).

3c. When it comes to handling the problems of the financial markets and major corporations, do you approve or disapprove of the job George W. Bush is doing?

Approve	40	[144]
Disapprove	46	
Not sure	14	

4. In general, do you approve or disapprove of the job Congress is doing?

	<u>7/02*</u>	<u>6/02</u>	<u>1/02</u>	<u>12/01</u>	<u>6/01</u>	<u>4/01</u>	<u>3/01</u>	<u>1/01</u>	<u>High 9/98</u>	<u>Low 10/90+</u>	
Approve	34	43	54	57	47	43	45	48	61	22	[145]
Disapprove	48	41	29	29	34	33	32	35	28	69	
Not sure	18	16	17	14	19	24	23	17	11	9	
		<u>12/00</u>	<u>10/00+</u>	<u>9/00+</u>	<u>7/00+</u>	<u>6/00+</u>	<u>4/00+</u>	<u>3/00+</u>	<u>1/00</u>	<u>12/99</u>	
		55	49	46	46	43	42	43	48	45	
		30	35	41	42	46	44	40	36	42	
		15	16	13	12	11	14	17	16	13	
		<u>10/99</u>	<u>9/99</u>	<u>7/99</u>	<u>6/99</u>	<u>4/99</u>	<u>3/99</u>	<u>1/99</u>	<u>12/98</u>	<u>10/98+</u>	
		42	40	43	40	49	41	50	44	48	
		45	49	41	42	38	45	40	42	39	
		13	11	16	18	13	14	10	14	13	

* Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM A).
+ Results shown reflect responses among registered voters.

5. I'm going to read you the names of several public figures and groups, and I'd like you to rate your feelings toward each one as either very positive, somewhat positive, neutral, somewhat negative, or very negative. If you don't know the name, please just say so.

	<u>Very Positive</u>	<u>Somewhat Positive</u>	<u>Neutral</u>	<u>Somewhat Negative</u>	<u>Very Negative</u>	<u>Don't Know Name/ Not Sure</u>	
George W. Bush							[146]
July 2002	40	26	11	11	12	-	
June 2002	43	27	11	10	9	-	
April 2002	47	25	13	8	7	-	
January 2002	53	26	10	7	4	-	
December 2001	54	26	9	6	5	-	
June 2001	30	23	16	15	15	1	
April 2001	36	22	15	13	14	-	
March 2001	38	24	14	12	11	1	
January 2001	25	25	18	13	17	2	
December 2000	23	25	16	13	22	1	
November 27, 2000	31	19	11	19	20	-	
High							
December 2001	54	26	9	6	5	-	
Low							
March 2000+	19	26	21	15	17	2	

+ Results shown reflect responses among registered voters.

Q.5 (cont'd)

	<u>Very Positive</u>	<u>Somewhat Positive</u>	<u>Neutral</u>	<u>Somewhat Negative</u>	<u>Very Negative</u>	<u>Don't Know Name/ Not Sure</u>	
The Democratic Party							[147]
July 2002 *	10	29	25	21	13	2	
June 2002	10	27	27	18	14	4	
January 2002	16	33	23	15	11	2	
December 2001	18	30	26	14	9	3	
June 2001	15	31	24	17	11	2	
March 2001	17	27	24	16	14	2	
January 2001	21	26	22	16	13	2	
December 2000	23	23	18	16	18	2	
October 2000+	20	27	20	15	16	2	
September 2000+	23	26	19	17	14	1	
August 2000+	21	26	23	16	13	1	
<i>High</i>							
January 2000	20	30	23	15	10	2	
<i>Low</i>							
December 1994	10	23	25	24	16	2	
Dick Cheney							[148]
July 2002 *	24	28	19	10	13	6	
April 2002	27	29	22	8	10	4	
January 2002	32	30	21	6	5	6	
December 2001	36	27	23	3	6	5	
June 2001	26	22	22	14	11	5	
April 2001	31	21	22	10	9	7	
March 2001	30	26	22	8	6	8	
January 2001	23	26	26	9	7	9	
December 2000	23	26	22	10	11	8	
October 2000+	25	24	26	8	9	8	
September 2000+	17	26	22	12	8	15	
<i>High</i>							
February 1991+	33	33	13	2	2	17	
<i>Low</i>							
July 2000+	19	23	26	9	6	17	
Harvey Pitt							[149]
July 2002 *	3	5	13	3	6	70	
Corporate CEOs							[150]
July 2002 *	3	7	19	28	36	7	

* Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM A).
+ Results shown reflect responses among registered voters.

Q.5 (cont'd)

	<u>Very Positive</u>	<u>Somewhat Positive</u>	<u>Neutral</u>	<u>Somewhat Negative</u>	<u>Very Negative</u>	<u>Don't Know Name/ Not Sure</u>	
The Republican Party							[151]
July 2002 **	18	25	22	17	14	4	
June 2002	17	29	23	16	11	4	
January 2002	20	32	24	12	9	3	
December 2001	21	36	18	13	9	3	
June 2001	13	30	21	19	16	1	
March 2001	18	31	21	15	14	1	
January 2001	14	30	25	15	14	2	
December 2000	18	26	23	14	18	1	
October 2000+	14	29	25	16	14	2	
September 2000+	14	33	19	20	13	1	
August 2000+	19	27	23	14	15	2	
<i>High</i>							
December 2001	21	36	18	13	9	3	
<i>Low</i>							
March 1999	1	22	23	19	23	2	
Tom Daschle							[152]
July 2002 **	6	18	22	13	13	28	
January 2002	8	24	21	8	11	28	
December 2001	11	23	27	7	6	26	
December 2000	3	7	17	5	4	64	
John McCain							[153]
July 2002 **	12	29	27	10	5	17	
April 2002	18	29	25	9	3	16	
June 2001	15	29	26	11	6	13	
April 2001	17	30	25	9	3	16	
January 2001	16	28	29	7	3	17	
July 2000+	18	32	25	9	6	10	
June 2000+	15	32	25	11	7	10	
April 2000+	16	30	27	12	5	10	
March 2000+	14	31	25	14	7	9	
January 2000	14	20	27	8	3	28	
December 1999	12	22	24	4	2	36	
October 1999	9	20	22	5	2	42	
<i>High</i>							
July 2000+	18	32	25	9	6	10	
<i>Low</i>							
October 1999	9	20	22	5	2	42	

** Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM B).

+ Results shown reflect responses among registered voters.

6. I'm going to read you a list of news events that people may be concerned about today. Please tell me which one or two of the following events is of greatest concern to you? **(ACCEPT UP TO TWO RESPONSES. IF "ALL," ASK:)** Well, if you had to choose, which one or two concern you the most? **

THIS TABLE HAS BEEN RANKED BY THE HIGHEST PERCENTAGE

Keeping Americans safe from terrorism	51	[154]
Kidnapping of children	46	>
Corruption in the business and accounting industry	31	
The decline in the stock market	28	
Forest fires in the western states	7	
Hormone replacement studies	3	
Baseball's potential strike	1	
All/none/other (VOL)	5	
Not sure	-	

** Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM B).

Turning now to this year's election . . .

7. What is your preference for the outcome of the November 2002¹ congressional elections—a Congress controlled by Republicans or a Congress controlled by Democrats?

	<u>7/02</u>	<u>6/02</u>	<u>1/02</u>	<u>12/01</u>	<u>12/99</u>	<u>10/99</u>	<u>7/99</u>	<u>6/99</u>	<u>4/99</u>	
Republican-controlled Congress	43	42	44	42	40	39	39	42	41	[155]
Democrat-controlled Congress	41	41	40	40	44	41	43	41	40	
Not sure ²	16	17	16	18	16	20	18	17	19	
		<u>3/99</u>	<u>10/98+</u>	<u>9/98</u>	<u>7/98</u>	<u>6/98</u>	<u>4/98</u>	<u>2/98</u>	<u>1/98</u>	
		37	41	40	41	39	37	41	40	
		43	43	39	40	40	41	37	42	
		20	16	21	19	21	22	22	18	
		<u>12/97</u>	<u>9/97</u>	<u>7/97</u>	<u>4/97</u>	<u>1/96</u>	<u>12/95</u>	<u>10/94</u>		
		41	41	45	44	41	42	44		
		37	39	39	38	38	41	38		
		22	20	16	18	21	17	18		

¹ In October 1994, the question referred to the 1994 congressional elections; the 1995 and 1996 survey dates referred to the 1996 congressional elections; the 1997 and 1998 dates refer to the 1998 congressional elections.

² The volunteered responses "both equal," "neither in control," or "doesn't matter," were reported separately; for purposes of comparative they are combined with "not sure."

+ Results shown reflect responses among registered voters.

8. In this year's election for U.S. House of Representatives, do you plan to vote for the Republican candidate or the Democratic candidate in your district? (IF "NOT SURE," ASK:) Well, which way do you lean at this time?

	<u>7/02</u>	<u>6/02</u>	<u>4/02</u>	<u>1/02</u>	<u>10/98+</u>	<u>9/98</u>	<u>7/98</u>	<u>6/98</u>	<u>4/98</u>	<u>1/98</u>	
Vote for the Republican candidate....	34	33	33	34	40	34	32	32	30	30	[156]
Vote for the Democratic candidate ...	36	35	32	33	43	36	34	36	36	36	
Depends (VOL).....	15	15	19	16	8	14	15	16	18	22	
Other/neither/won't vote (VOL)	5	3	4	3	2	4	5	6	4	3	
Not sure	10	14	12	14	7	12	14	10	12	9	
		<u>9/98+</u>	<u>7/98+</u>	<u>6/98+</u>	<u>4/98+</u>	<u>1/98+</u>	<u>10/96+</u>	<u>9/96+</u>	<u>8/20-</u> <u>21/96+</u>	<u>8/2-</u> <u>6/96+</u>	
		35	33	34	30	32	38	36	37	38	
		37	35	37	37	36	39	42	38	38	
		14	16	16	20	22	8	7	11	10	
		2	2	3	1	2	1	2	2	2	
		12	14	10	12	8	14	13	12	12	
		<u>7/96+</u>	<u>6/96+</u>	<u>5/96+</u>	<u>3/96</u>	<u>12/95¹</u>	<u>11/94</u>	<u>10/94</u>	<u>10/94+</u>	<u>9/94</u>	
		37	35	36	36	34	40	39	41	33	
		40	40	39	38	36	37	36	36	39	
		10	10	9	12	17	6	6	7	9	
		1	1	2	3	3	3	5	2	5	
		12	14	14	11	10	14	14	14	14	

¹ Prior to March 1996, this question was phrased, "election for U.S. Congress..."
+ Asked only of registered voters.

9. Now I'm going to read you two statements. Please tell me which one comes closer to your point of view.

Statement A: In a time of war when we have a Republican president, it is much better to have a majority of Republicans in the House and Senate as well to provide the president with the kind of support and unity needed on both the domestic and international fronts. OR

Statement B: When issues like prescription drugs, the environment, and strengthening regulations on business are being debated and we have a Republican President, it is much better to have a majority of Democrats in the House and Senate to provide checks and balances on domestic issues.

	<u>7/02</u>	<u>6/02¹</u>	
Statement A /Majority of Republicans better	38	37	[157]
Statement B /Majority of Democrats better.....	50	48	
Depends (VOL).....	8	9	
Not sure	4	6	

¹ In June 2002, the phrase "... and strengthening regulations on business..." was not included in Statement B.

10. And when you think about the future of the country, would you say that you are mainly hopeful and optimistic or mainly worried and pessimistic?

	<u>7/02</u>	<u>9/01</u>	<u>11/98¹</u>	
Mainly hopeful and optimistic	69	79	58	[158]
Mainly worried and pessimistic.....	28	18	39	
Not sure	3	3	3	

¹ November 1998 comparative data comes from a survey conducted on behalf of the Shell Corporation.

Now I'd like to ask some questions about President Bush.

11. How would you rate George W. Bush on the following qualities, using a five-point scale, on which a "5" means a very good rating, a "1" means a very poor rating, and a "3" means a mixed rating?

THIS TABLE HAS BEEN RANKED BY THE PERCENTAGE WHO SAY GOOD RATING (RATING OF "4" OR "5")

	Very Good Rating			Very Poor Rating		Cannot Rate	
	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>		
Having high personal standards that set the proper moral tone for the country							[160]
July 2002.....	43	23	19	7	8	-	
January 2001.....	33	25	22	11	8	1	
December 1999.....	22	28	28	8	9	5	
Having the strong leadership qualities needed to be president							[162]
July 2002.....	38	24	19	9	10	-	
January 2002.....	49	24	17	5	5	-	
January 2001.....	26	24	25	13	11	1	
December 1999.....	26	27	24	10	9	4	
Being honest and straightforward							[161]
July 2002.....	35	23	19	10	12	1	
January 2001.....	28	22	25	11	13	1	
Being compassionate enough to understand average people							[165]
July 2002.....	33	23	21	10	12	1	
January 2002.....	44	21	20	6	8	1	
January 2001.....	21	21	27	14	16	1	
December 1999.....	22	24	28	10	12	4	
Working effectively with Congress to get results							[159]
July 2002.....	19	29	36	10	5	1	
January 2002.....	26	32	29	6	5	2	
January 2001.....	19	27	36	8	8	2	
December 1999.....	18	30	32	7	7	6	
Having the right economic policies for the times							[164]
July 2002.....	14	25	34	13	12	2	
Cracking down on corporate corruption							[163]
July 2002.....	14	21	30	15	18	2	

12. Now I'd like to read you a list of priorities. Please tell me which ONE you feel is the most important priority for the President and Congress to address this year.

THIS TABLE HAS BEEN RANKED BY THE HIGHEST PERCENTAGE

	<u>7/02</u>	<u>9/01</u>	
Fighting terrorism.....	33	64	[166]
Strengthening the economy.....	32	20	
Dealing with health care costs.....	16	6	
Reforming the education system.....	11	7	
Strengthening regulations on business.....	6	NA	
Not sure.....	2	3	

13. A proposal has been made that would allow people to put a portion of their Social Security payroll taxes into personal retirement accounts that would be invested in stocks and bonds. Some people think that individuals would have more money for retirement if they were allowed to invest and manage some of their Social Security payroll taxes themselves. Others think that it is too risky and could leave some people without adequate money for retirement if the stock market were to decline in value significantly. Do you favor or oppose this proposal?

	<u>7/02*</u>	<u>12/01</u>	<u>3/99</u>	<u>10/98+</u>	<u>4/98</u>	
Favor.....	41	46	44	43	52	[167]
Oppose.....	55	48	51	52	41	
Not sure.....	4	6	5	5	7	

* Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM A).

+ Results shown reflect responses among registered voters

14. As you may know, President Bush announced plans to create a new cabinet-level Department of Homeland Security. This department will consolidate many activities dealing with security matters that are currently in several different departments. Do you feel that this will make a real difference or will not make a real difference in preventing future terrorist attacks in America?

	<u>7/02**</u>	<u>6/02</u>	
Yes, will make a difference.....	56	61	[168]
No, will not make a difference.....	36	29	
Not sure.....	8	10	

** Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM B).

15. Do you think that the United States will ever capture or kill international terrorist Osama Bin Laden, or not?

	<u>7/02**</u>	<u>1/02</u>	<u>12/01</u>	<u>9/01</u>	
Yes, will capture or kill.....	43	51	66	73	[169]
No, will never capture or kill.....	47	33	23	15	
Not sure.....	10	16	11	12	

** Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM B).

16. I am going to read you a list of institutions in American society, and I'd like you to tell me how much confidence you have in each one--a great deal, quite a bit, some, very little, or none at all?

THIS TABLE HAS BEEN RANKED BY THE PERCENTAGE WHO SAY A GREAT DEAL, QUITE A BIT, OR SOME CONFIDENCE

	<u>A Great Deal Of Confidence</u>	<u>Quite A Bit Of Confidence</u>	<u>Some Confidence</u>	<u>Very Little Confidence</u>	<u>No Confidence At All</u>	<u>Not Sure</u>	
The military							[176]
July 2002 *	54	28	14	3	1	-	
January 2002	54	31	11	2	1	1	
December 2000	36	27	25	7	3	2	
June 1998 ¹	33	31	25	9	1	2	
May 1996 ¹	30	36	25	7	-	2	
January 1996 ²	32	38	22	7	-	1	
December 1991 ³	47	31	15	6	NA	1	
December 1988 ⁴	15	31	33	15	NA	7	
October 1986 ⁴	29	34	24	10	1	2	
November 1981 ⁴	22	28	29	14	6	2	
January 1977 ⁴	23	34	25	11	1	6	
June 1975 ⁴	27	31	25	11	1	5	
Small business							[212]
July 2002 **	30	30	31	5	2	2	
December 2000	31	28	27	8	3	3	
The federal government							[173/209]
July 2002	13	21	47	13	5	1	
December 2000	9	19	52	14	5	1	
February 1997 ⁵	6	16	45	32	NA	1	
May 1994 ⁴	4	16	44	35	NA	2	
May 1990 ⁴	10	26	45	18	NA	2	
The high-tech industry							[174]
July 2002 *	19	22	38	11	5	5	
December 2000	26	28	31	9	2	4	

PLEASE NOTE: Comparative data shown does *not necessarily* reflect all of the existing data for an item. It is possible that an institution received higher or lower confidence ratings in a survey that is not shown.

* Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM A).

** Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM B).

¹ Comparative data comes from Gallup/CNN/USA Today surveys.

² Comparative data comes from a survey conducted by Princeton Survey Research for the Kaiser Foundation, Harvard University, and the *Washington Post*. "Large corporations" was previously phrased as "large business corporations" and "national news media" was previously phrased as "news media."

³ Comparative data comes from a survey conducted by ABC News. "Large corporations" was previously phrased as "large business corporations" and "national news media" was previously phrased as "news media."

⁴ Comparative data comes from surveys conducted by Gallup. "Large corporations" was previously phrased as "big business."

⁵ Comparative data comes from a survey conducted by Hart/Teeter for the Council for Excellence in Government.

⁶ This item was previously phrased "the accounting profession."

Q.16 (cont'd)	A Great Deal Of Confidence	Quite A Bit Of Confidence	Some Confidence	Very Little Confidence	No Confidence At All	Not Sure	
Congress							[175]
July 2002 *	8	21	49	16	5	1	
January 2002	13	24	44	15	3	1	
December 2000	10	16	48	18	6	2	
June 1998 ¹	10	18	48	20	2	2	
February 1997 ⁵	7	14	46	32	NA	1	
January 1996 ²	4	10	41	41	3	1	
December 1991 ³	7	10	39	43	NA	1	
December 1988 ⁴	8	20	41	26	NA	6	
October 1986 ⁴	10	31	43	12	1	3	
November 1981 ⁴	8	21	41	22	6	3	
January 1977 ⁴	12	28	34	17	1	7	
June 1975 ⁴	14	26	38	18	1	3	
Your state government							[211]
July 2002 **	10	20	42	19	8	1	
December 2000	13	25	42	15	4	1	
Accountants							[178]
July 2002 *	10	12	43	21	10	4	
January 2002 ⁶	9	16	41	20	7	7	
The pharmaceutical industry							[177]
July 2002 *	10	14	40	23	11	2	
The national news media							[214]
July 2002 **	9	18	37	23	12	1	
December 2000	7	13	39	25	15	1	
February 1997 ⁵	5	15	39	40	NA	1	
January 1996 ²	6	14	40	37	2	1	
December 1991 ³	16	21	38	25	NA	1	
The financial industry							[170/179]
July 2002	5	10	49	27	8	1	
December 2000	11	25	45	13	4	2	
Religious leaders and organizations							[213]
July 2002 **	12	13	38	21	13	3	

PLEASE NOTE: Comparative data shown does *not necessarily* reflect all of the existing data for an item. It is possible that an institution received higher or lower confidence ratings in a survey that is not shown.

* Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM A).

** Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM B).

¹ Comparative data comes from Gallup/CNN/USA Today surveys.

² Comparative data comes from a survey conducted by Princeton Survey Research for the Kaiser Foundation, Harvard University, and the *Washington Post*. "Large corporations" was previously phrased as "large business corporations" and "national news media" was previously phrased as "news media."

³ Comparative data comes from a survey conducted by ABC News. "Large corporations" was previously phrased as "large business corporations" and "national news media" was previously phrased as "news media."

⁴ Comparative data comes from surveys conducted by Gallup. "Large corporations" was previously phrased as "big business."

⁵ Comparative data comes from a survey conducted by Hart/Teeter for the Council for Excellence in Government.

⁶ This item was previously phrased "the accounting profession."

Q.16 (cont'd)	A Great Deal Of Confidence	Quite A Bit Of Confidence	Some Confidence	Very Little Confidence	No Confidence At All	Not Sure	
Automobile dealers							[210]
July 2002 **	3	7	37	29	21	3	
Large corporations							[171/180]
July 2002	4	8	37	32	17	2	
January 2002	8	14	44	23	9	2	
December 2000	9	17	42	22	8	2	
July 1998 ⁴	13	18	42	22	2	3	
January 1996 ²	6	15	45	30	2	2	
December 1991 ³	11	15	47	26	NA	2	
December 1988 ⁴	6	16	39	32	NA	8	
October 1986 ⁴	7	21	40	26	2	4	
November 1981 ⁴	6	14	36	29	11	3	
January 1977 ⁴	11	21	35	25	2	6	
June 1975 ⁴	10	24	36	23	2	5	
Stock brokerage firms							[172/208]
July 2002	3	6	37	32	18	4	

PLEASE NOTE: Comparative data shown does *not necessarily* reflect all of the existing data for an item. It is possible that an institution received higher or lower confidence ratings in a survey that is not shown.

** Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM B).

² Comparative data comes from a survey conducted by Princeton Survey Research for the Kaiser Foundation, Harvard University, and the *Washington Post*. "Large corporations" was previously phrased as "large business corporations" and "national news media" was previously phrased as "news media."

³ Comparative data comes from a survey conducted by ABC News. "Large corporations" was previously phrased as "large business corporations" and "national news media" was previously phrased as "news media."

⁴ Comparative data comes from surveys conducted by Gallup. "Large corporations" was previously phrased as "big business."

⁵ Comparative data comes from a survey conducted by Hart/Teeter for the Council for Excellence in Government.

⁶ This item was previously phrased "the accounting profession."

Turning to the economy . . .

17. I'd like you to rate economic conditions in America using a ten-point scale, on which a "1" means economic conditions are very bad, and a "10" means economic conditions are very good. You may use any number from one to ten, depending on how you feel. Using this ten-point scale, how would you rate economic conditions in America today?

	7/02	6/97	
10, very good	1	3	[215-216]
9	1	3	
8	8	17	
7	18	24	
6	19	17	
5	22	21	
4	15	8	
3	9	3	
2	2	1	
1, very bad	5	2	
Cannot rate	-	1	
MEAN	5.3	6.2	

18. I'd like to read you a list of economic issues. As of right now, which one do you feel is the most important economic issue facing the country? (IF "ALL," ASK:) Well, if you had to choose just one, which would it be?

THIS TABLE HAS BEEN RANKED BY THE HIGHEST PERCENTAGE

	<u>7/02**</u>	<u>4/01</u>	<u>3/01</u>	<u>1/01</u>	<u>6/94</u>	<u>5/94</u>	<u>3/94</u>	<u>1/94</u>	<u>12/93</u>	<u>10/93</u>	
The decline in the stock market	28	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	[217-218]
Unemployment	25	13	11	10	35	35	33	37	40	43	
The federal budget ¹	11	9	9	18	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Federal taxes	8	11	14	11	11	12	10	8	11	12	
Energy prices	6	38	35	26	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Inflation	6	10	8	8	6	8	6	5	6	5	
Interest rates	3	5	5	6	5	4	2	2	2	3	
All equally important (VOL)	11	7	10	12	4	6	5	5	7	4	
None (VOL)	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	
Not sure	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	2	2	1	
		<u>9/93</u>	<u>7/93</u>	<u>6/93</u>	<u>4/93</u>	<u>3/93</u>	<u>1/93</u>	<u>12/92</u>	<u>5/92</u>	<u>9/91</u>	
		8	8	6	4	7	7	6	7	11	
		40	37	40	44	44	44	46	46	37	
		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
		2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	3	
		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
		11	10	11	9	7	5	5	5	7	
		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
		4	4	4	5	3	5	5	8	10	
		-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	

** Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM B).

¹ Prior to March 2001, the item was phrased "Keeping the federal budget balanced."

19a. How satisfied are you with the state of the U.S. economy today--are you very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied with the state of the economy?

	<u>7/02</u>	<u>4/02</u>	<u>1/02</u>	<u>12/01</u>	<u>1/01</u>	<u>4/00+</u>	<u>10/99</u>	<i>High</i> <u>9/98</u>	<i>Low</i> <u>1/96</u>	
Very satisfied	4	6	4	5	18	29	23	31	3	[219]
Somewhat satisfied	42	44	43	47	57	52	53	55	37	
Somewhat dissatisfied	39	33	39	32	18	12	17	10	38	
Very dissatisfied	14	15	13	15	6	6	7	3	19	
Not sure	1	2	1	1	1	1	-	1	3	
		<u>12/98</u>	<u>10/98+</u>	<u>9/98</u>	<u>12/97</u>	<u>9/97</u>	<u>6/97</u>	<u>3/97</u>	<u>12/96</u>	
		26	27	31	18	13	10	13	9	
		54	55	55	52	52	51	45	51	
		13	12	10	20	23	27	27	27	
		6	5	3	8	10	10	13	11	
		1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	
		<u>9/96</u>	<u>6/96</u>	<u>5/96+</u>	<u>3/96</u>	<u>1/96</u>	<u>7/95</u>	<u>4/95</u>	<u>12/94</u>	
		7	5	4	3	3	4	4	4	
		49	43	43	39	37	39	40	41	
		30	32	38	37	38	35	37	38	
		12	18	14	19	19	21	18	15	
		2	2	1	2	3	1	1	2	

+ Results shown reflect responses among registered voters.

19b. How satisfied are you with your own financial situation today--are you very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied with your financial situation?

	<u>7/02</u>	<u>1/02</u>	<u>1/01</u>	<u>4/00+</u>	<u>10/99</u>	<u>9/99</u>	<u>12/98</u>	<i>High</i> <u>9/98</u>	<i>Low</i> <u>12/94</u>	
Very satisfied	16	19	21	25	21	22	25	26	13	[220]
Somewhat satisfied	47	50	50	51	53	50	51	52	48	
Somewhat dissatisfied	24	19	17	13	14	18	13	14	24	
Very dissatisfied	13	12	11	10	11	10	10	7	14	
Not sure	-	-	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	
		<u>9/98</u>	<u>12/97</u>	<u>9/97</u>	<u>6/97</u>	<u>3/97</u>	<u>12/96</u>	<u>12/94</u>		
		26	20	19	16	17	16	13		
		52	51	49	50	48	50	48		
		14	16	17	20	20	21	24		
		7	12	14	13	14	12	14		
		1	1	1	1	1	1	1		

+ Results shown reflect responses among registered voters.

19c. When it comes to the country's economic condition, which of the following statements comes closest to your point of view?

	<u>7/02</u>	<u>11/01</u>	<u>3/01</u>	
The country is currently in an economic recession ¹	41	46	21	[221]
The country is not currently in an economic recession but will be within the next twelve months ²	27	27	32	
The country is not currently in an economic recession and will not be in the next twelve months ³	27	22	41	
Not sure	5	5	6	

¹ In November 2001, the item was phrased, "The country is already in an economic recession."

² In March 2001, item was phrased, "The country will be in an economic recession in the next year." In November 2001, the item was phrased, "The country will be in an economic recession within the next twelve months."

³ In March 2001, item was phrased, "The country will NOT be in an economic recession in the next year." In November 2001, the item was phrased, "The country will NOT be in an economic recession in the next twelve months."

20. During the next twelve months, do you think that the nation's economy will get better, get worse, or stay about the same?

	<u>7/02</u>	<u>4/02</u>	<u>12/01</u>	<u>4/01</u>	<u>3/01</u> ¹	<u>10/98+</u>	<u>9/98</u>	<u>4/97</u>	<u>1/96</u>	<u>7/95</u>	
Economy will get better	41	50	50	36	28	17	19	19	21	20	[222]
Economy will get worse	20	13	15	25	29	24	22	22	21	21	
Economy will stay about the same	37	32	32	34	37	54	53	57	52	54	
Not sure	2	5	3	5	6	5	6	2	6	5	
		<u>4/95</u>	<u>12/94</u>	<u>10/94</u>	<u>9/94</u>	<u>6/94</u>	<u>5/94</u>	<u>3/94</u>	<u>1/94</u>	<u>12/93</u>	
		24	31	31	28	28	27	29	44	43	
		23	17	24	24	17	21	16	16	19	
		50	46	40	44	52	49	52	36	34	
		3	6	5	4	3	3	3	4	4	
	<u>10/93</u>	<u>9/93</u>	<u>7/93</u>	<u>6/93</u>	<u>4/93</u>	<u>3/93</u>	<u>1/93</u>	<u>12/92</u>	<u>5/92</u>	<u>9/91</u>	
	21	23	20	23	33	39	50	53	32	31	
	27	24	29	27	21	15	9	10	20	24	
	50	51	48	47	44	43	39	35	45	40	
	2	2	3	3	2	3	2	2	3	5	

¹ Prior to April 2001, this question was phrased, "During the next year..."

+ Results shown reflect responses among registered voters.

21. Who do you think has the better approach on how to get the country out of a recession--President Bush or the Democrats in Congress?

	<u>7/02</u>	<u>1/02</u>	<u>12/01</u>	
President Bush.....	39	42	46	[223]
The Democrats in Congress	30	29	25	
Both equally (VOL).....	10	15	13	
Neither.....	10	4	NA	
Not sure.....	11	10	16	

22. When it comes to you and your family, have you been more affected by the decline in the stock market, or more affected by the decline in economic conditions such as job layoffs and loss in overtime? If neither has affected you and your family please just say so.

Decline in the stock market.....	31	[224]
Decline in economic conditions	38	
Neither	28	
Both equally (VOL).....	3	
Not sure	-	

23a. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: "The economic and political systems in the country are stacked against people like me"?

	<u>7/02</u>	<u>6/97</u>	<u>4/97</u>	<u>6/94</u>	<u>6/93</u>	<u>10/92+</u>	<u>9/92+</u>	<u>7/92+</u>	<u>5/92+</u>	<u>4/92+</u>	
Agree	34	40	52	45	35	49	50	56	45	59	[225]
Disagree	59	53	43	48	58	45	45	37	49	37	
Not sure	7	7	5	7	7	6	5	7	6	4	
								<u>10/23-</u>	<u>10/14-</u>		
	<u>2/92+</u>	<u>1/92+</u>	<u>12/91+</u>	<u>10/91+</u>	<u>10/90+</u>	<u>26/88+</u>	<u>16/88+</u>	<u>9/88+</u>	<u>8/88+</u>		
	47	43	44	48	42	43	50	43	51		
	47	51	49	46	54	48	42	46	39		
	6	6	7	6	4	9	8	11	10		

+ Results shown reflect responses among registered voters.

23b. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: "The economic and political systems in the country offer equal opportunities for everyone"?

	<u>7/02</u>	<u>6/97</u>	
Agree.....	39	38	[226]
Disagree	58	60	
Not sure.....	3	2	

Turning to the stock market . . .

24a. In terms of investing, do you feel that these are very good times, good times, average times, not so good times, or bad times?

Very good times.....	5	[227]
Good times	12	
Average times.....	17	
Not so good times.....	36	
Bad times.....	27	
Not sure	3	

24b. Thinking ahead to a year from now, do you think that the value of the stock market will be much higher, somewhat higher, about the same, somewhat lower, or much lower than it is today?

	<u>7/02</u>	<u>1/01</u>	<u>12/00</u>	<u>4/00+</u>	<u>3/00+</u>	<u>10/99</u>	<u>4/99</u>	<u>1/99</u>	<u>7/98</u>	<u>12/97</u>	
Much higher.....	8	5	4	6	8	6	7	5	6	7	[228]
Somewhat higher.....	48	30	25	30	30	24	26	27	30	31	
About the same.....	25	35	35	35	35	39	35	35	32	35	
Somewhat lower.....	11	18	23	14	11	22	17	16	19	15	
Much lower.....	3	5	4	6	5	4	7	6	7	4	
Not sure.....	5	7	9	9	11	5	8	11	6	8	

+ Results shown reflect responses among registered voters.

25. Please tell me whether the following statement applies to you personally: "I have at least five thousand dollars invested in mutual funds or stocks."

	<u>7/02</u>		<u>6/02</u>	<u>4/02</u>	<u>12/01</u>	<u>4/01</u>	<u>3/01</u>	<u>1/01</u>	
Applies.....	53	CONTINUE	49	49	49	52	49	51	[229]
Does not apply.....	44	Skip to Q.26c	47	49	49	46	49	45	
Not sure.....	3		4	2	2	2	2	4	

(ASK ONLY OF RESPONDENTS WHO SAY THEY HAVE AT LEAST \$5,000 INVESTED IN Q.25.)

26a. At this time, are you confident or not that confident about investing in the stock market?

Confident.....	31	Skip to Q.26c	[230]
Not that confident.....	65	CONTINUE	
Not sure.....	4	Skip to Q.26c	

(ASK ONLY OF RESPONDENTS WHO SAY "NOT CONFIDENT" IN Q.26a.)

26b. And when you say you are not confident, is that because of general economic and market conditions, or is it due to a lack of confidence in corporate financial disclosures and the current scandals?

General economic and market conditions...	39	[231]
Lack of confident in corporate financial disclosures.....	40	
Both equally (VOL).....	19	
Neither (VOL).....	2	
Not sure.....	-	

26c. When it comes to financial information that major stock brokerage firms and corporations provide to the public, do you or do you not have confidence that the information is straightforward and an honest analysis?

	<u>7/02</u>	<u>6/02</u>	
Have confidence.....	23	29	[232]
Do not have confidence.....	70	57	
Not sure.....	7	14	

27. As far as people in charge of running major companies are concerned, would you say that you have a great deal of confidence, only some confidence, or hardly any confidence at all in them?

	<u>7/02</u>	<u>2001¹</u>	<u>2000</u>	<u>1996</u>	<u>1992</u>	<u>1990</u>	<u>1987</u>	
Great deal of confidence	5	20	28	21	11	15	22	[233]
Only some confidence	59	63	56	59	63	68	61	
Hardly any confidence	34	13	13	18	24	16	14	
Not sure	2	4	3	2	2	1	3	
		<u>1980</u>	<u>1978</u>	<u>1976</u>	<u>1974</u>	<u>1972</u>	<u>1966</u>	
		16	23	19	16	27	55	
		54	55	51	48	44	35	
		27	17	23	33	21	5	
		3	5	7	3	8	5	

¹Comparative data comes from the Harris Poll.

28a. Which is your view of government regulations--most are necessary and protect consumers or the environment, or most are unnecessary and harm the economy?

	<u>7/02**</u>	<u>1/95</u>	
Most are necessary.....	52	40	[234]
Most are unnecessary.....	30	47	
Some of both (VOL).....	13	6	
Not sure	5	7	

** Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM B).

28b. Which statement do you agree with more concerning the current corporate accounting scandals?

Statement A: The President and Congress should pass tougher new laws and regulations to prevent corporate fraud and questionable accounting practices.

Statement B: The President and Congress should not pass new laws, but instead should focus on enforcing existing laws and investigating and prosecuting firms and corporate officials that have broken the rules. *

Statement A: New laws and regulations	33	[235]
Statement B: No new laws, focus on investigating and prosecuting	63	
Not sure.....	4	

* Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM A).

28c. When it comes to dealing with the problems of the financial markets and major corporations, which do you think is the greatest danger:

That regulators will go too far and impose restrictions on business that will hinder the economy, OR that they will not go far enough toward raising the standards of accountability and restoring confidence in the markets and U.S. corporations? **

Regulators will go too far	36	[236]
Regulators will not go far enough	59	
Not sure	5	

** Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM B).

29. Thinking about businesses and companies in general, which one of the following statements better describes your overall attitude toward business?

Statement A: They care mostly about the quality of their service, charge fair prices, and treat their customers well.

Statement B: They care mostly about profits, cut corners on service, overcharge on prices, and do not treat their customers well.

	<u>7/02</u>	<u>1/00¹</u>	
Statement A /quality, fair prices, good treatment.....	23	45	[237]
Statement B /profits, cut corners, overcharge, bad treatment.....	71	40	
Some of both (VOL).....	NA	12	
Not sure.....	6	3	

¹Comparative data comes from a survey conducted on behalf of the NCTA.

30a. Which of the following comes closest to your point of view--what happened at Enron and WorldCom is representative of problems at most American companies, at many American companies, at just some American companies, or at very few American companies?

	<u>7/02*</u>	<u>6/02</u>	<u>4/02</u>	
Most companies.....	10	9	9	[238]
Many companies.....	21	24	18	
Just some companies.....	48	42	41	
Very few companies.....	18	15	28	
Not sure.....	3	10	4	

* Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM A).

30b. Do you think that the current cases of wrongdoing among chief executives of major businesses represent a problem of a few corrupt individuals in a system that is mostly honest and above board, OR a widespread problem in which many business executives are taking advantage of a system that is failing?

A problem of a few corrupt individuals.....	33	[239]
A widespread problem.....	61	
Depends (VOL).....	4	
Not sure.....	2	

31a. When it comes to the problems in the financial markets, do you think that President Bush is more concerned about the interests of average Americans or more concerned about the interests of large corporations?

Interests of average Americans.....	36	[240]
Interests of large corporations.....	49	
Both equally (VOL).....	10	
Not sure.....	5	

31b. When it comes to the problems in the financial markets, do you think that the Republicans in Congress are more concerned about the interests of average Americans or more concerned about the interests of large corporations? *

Interests of average Americans	28	[241]
Interests of large corporations	55	
Both equally (VOL).....	8	
Not sure	9	

* Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM A).

31c. When it comes to the problems in the financial markets, do you think that the Democrats in Congress are more concerned about the interests of average Americans or more concerned about the interests of large corporations? **

Interests of average Americans	47	[242]
Interests of large corporations	29	
Both equally (VOL).....	10	
Not sure	14	

** Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM B).

32. The Bush administration includes a large number of people who have been top executives in major corporations. Do you think this is: a good thing because it means they understand the issues from the inside and will be more effective in finding solutions, OR a bad thing because they are likely to tilt toward business and not be aggressive enough in dealing with the current problems.

A good thing because they understand the issues	41	[243]
A bad thing because they are likely to tilt toward business	50	
Does not matter (VOL)	2	
Not sure.....	7	

33a. From what you have read, seen, or heard, do you think that President Bush did or did not do anything improper regarding a loan and/or stock transaction when he was a director of Harken Energy in 1990, or don't you know enough about this to say? *

Did something improper	18	[244]
Did not do anything improper	26	
Don't know enough about this to say	53	
Not sure.....	3	

* Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM A).

33b. Do you think that the public knows all it needs to know about President Bush's financial transactions involving Harken Energy, do you think President Bush needs to go further in explaining the transactions, or don't you know enough about this to say? **

Public knows all it needs to know.....	30	[245]
President Bush needs to go further in explaining transactions.....	38	
Don't know enough about this to say	31	
Not sure.....	1	

** Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM B).

33c. From what you have read, seen, or heard, do you think that Vice President Cheney did or did not do anything improper while he was CEO of Halliburton Corporation, or don't you know enough about it to say? **

Did something improper.....	21	[246]
Did not do anything improper.....	19	
Don't know enough about this to say	56	
Not sure.....	4	

** Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM B).

33d. Do you think that the public knows all it needs to know about what role Vice President Cheney played in the alleged overstating of revenue while he was CEO of Halliburton Corporation, do you think that Vice President Cheney needs to go further in explaining his role, or don't you know enough about this to say? *

Public knows all it needs to know.....	17	[247]
Vice President Cheney needs to go further.....	41	
Don't know enough about this to say	39	
Not sure.....	3	

* Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM A).

34. Which of these statements comes closer to your point of view?

Statement A: The stock market is a fair and open way to invest one's money, or there are rules that make it fair and equal for all, OR

Statement B: Because of corporate corruption and broker practices, the stock market is no longer a fair and open way to invest one's money. **

Statement A: Stock market is fair and open.....	40	[248]
Statement B: Stock market is no longer fair and open....	49	
Not sure.....	11	

** Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM B).

Changing subjects . . .

35. Do you think that the United States should or should not take military action against Iraq and Saddam Hussein if it means committing as many as two hundred thousand American troops?

	<u>7/02</u>	<u>6/02</u>	<u>4/02</u> ¹	
Should take action.....	54	41	57	[249]
Should not take action.....	31	40	28	
Depends (VOL)	8	9	8	
Not sure.....	7	10	7	

¹ In April 2002, this question was phrased, "Do you think that the United States should or should not take military action against Iraq and Saddam Hussein?"

36. As you may know, during the annual U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops, a policy was adopted of zero-tolerance toward sexual abuse of children, which means that any priest who has been found guilty of sexually abusing a minor would be permanently removed from the ministry. By adopting this policy, do you think that the Catholic Church has effectively addressed the issue of sexual abuse, or does the Catholic Church need to do more?

Has effectively addressed the issue.....	19	[250]
Needs to do more.....	78	
Going too far (VOL).....	-	
Not sure.....	3	

37a. Do you find yourself flying less often than before September eleventh?

Yes.....	26	CONTINUE	[251]
No.....	70	Skip to Q.38	
Not sure.....	4		

(ASK ONLY OF RESPONDENTS WHO SAY YES IN Q.37a.)

37b. Are you flying less often because you are more worried about your safety when flying or because you are more concerned about the added inconvenience of complying with new security measures?

Worried about my safety when flying.....	48	[252]
Concerned about added inconvenience.....	33	
Never flew before (VOL).....	6	
Not sure.....	13	

38. Are you a fan of major league baseball? (IF "YES," ASK:) As you know, there may be a strike during the current baseball season. If there is a strike, which side will you hold more responsible--the team owners, or the players?

	All Adults		Baseball Fans		[253]
	7/02*	7/94	7/02*	7/94	
Yes, Baseball Fan	50	55			
Hold team owners responsible.....	15	20	30	37	
Hold baseball players responsible.....	19	26	38	47	
Both equally responsible (VOL).....	13	4	25	8	
Not sure who is responsible.....	3	5	7	8	
No, Not A Baseball Fan	48	44	-	-	
Not Sure If Baseball Fan	2	1	-	-	

* Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM A).

	4/95	3/95	12/94	9/94	7/94
Yes, major league baseball fan.....	40	41	44	42	55
No, not a major league baseball fan.....	59	58	55	58	44
Not sure.....	1	1	1	-	1

39. As we approach the one-year anniversary of September eleventh, please tell me which statement best describes you—The effects of September eleventh are fresh and are something I think and worry about regularly, OR While not forgotten, the effects of September eleventh are fading away and are not something I think and worry about regularly.

Effects are fresh.....	43	[254]
Effects are fading away.....	52	
Neither (VOL).....	4	
Not sure	1	

FACTUALS: Now I'm going to ask you a few questions for statistical purposes only.

F1a. Are you currently registered to vote in the precinct or election district where you live now, or haven't you had a chance to register yet?

Yes, registered	88	CONTINUE	[255]
No, not registered.....	11	Skip to Q.F2	
Not sure	1		

F1b. Using a scale from 0 to 10, please tell me how interested you are in voting in this November's Congressional elections. If you are very interested in voting, pick a number closer to "8," "9," or "10." If you are less interested in voting, use a number closer to "0," "1," or "2." You may choose any number from one to ten.

0, less interested in voting.....	2	[256-257]
1	2	
2	1	
3	2	
4	4	
5	4	
6	4	
7	8	
8	15	
9	37	
10, very interested in voting	20	
Not sure.....	1	

F2. Are you currently employed?

(IF CURRENTLY EMPLOYED:) What type of work do you do?

(IF NOT CURRENTLY EMPLOYED:) Are you a student, a homemaker, retired, or unemployed and looking for work?

<u>Currently Employed</u>		
Professional/ manager.....	24	[258-259]
White-collar worker	20	
Blue-collar worker	18	
Farmer, rancher	1	
<u>Not Currently Employed</u>		
Student.....	2	
Homemaker	5	
Retired	23	
Unemployed, looking for work.....	5	
Other	-	
Not sure	2	

F3. Did you happen to vote in the 2000 presidential election? (IF "YES," ASK:) For whom did you vote-- George W. Bush, the Republican, Al Gore, the Democrat, Ralph Nader, the Green Party candidate, or Pat Buchanan, the Reform Party candidate?

Yes, Voted		[266]
Voted for George W. Bush.....	42	
Voted for Al Gore	30	
Voted for Ralph Nader	3	
Voted for Pat Buchanan	-	
Voted for other candidate (VOL)	1	
Not sure who voted for (VOL).....	1	
No, Did Not Vote	17	
No, was too young to vote (VOL)	2	
Not Sure/Refused	4	

F4. How old are you? (IF "REFUSED," ASK:) Well, would you tell me which age group you belong to?

18-24	9	50-54	10	[267-268]
25-29	7	55-59	7	
30-34	10	60-64	6	
35-39	9	65-69	6	
40-44	11	70-74	5	
45-49	11	75 and over	7	
		Refused.....	2	

F5. What is the last grade that you completed in school?

Grade school.....	-	[269-270]
Some high school	6	
High school graduate	31	
Some college, no degree	16	
Vocational training/2-year college	10	
4-year college/bachelor's degree	20	
Some postgraduate work, no degree	3	
2 or 3 years' postgraduate work/master's degree ...	10	
Doctoral/law degree	2	
Not sure/refused.....	2	

F6. Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a Democrat, a Republican, an independent, or something else? (IF "DEMOCRAT" OR "REPUBLICAN," ASK:) Would you call yourself a strong (Democrat/Republican) or not a very strong (Democrat/Republican)? (IF "NOT SURE," CODE AS "NOT VERY STRONG DEMOCRAT/REPUBLICAN." IF "INDEPENDENT," ASK:) Do you think of yourself as closer to the Democratic Party, closer to the Republican Party, or do you think of yourself as strictly independent? (IF "NOT SURE," CODE AS "STRICTLY INDEPENDENT.")

Strong Democrat.....	18	[271]
Not very strong Democrat	9	
Independent/lean Democrat.....	10	
Strictly independent.....	19	
Independent/lean Republican	9	
Not very strong Republican	9	
Strong Republican.....	19	
Other	4	
Not sure/nothing.....	3	

F7. Thinking about your general approach to issues, do you consider yourself to be liberal, moderate, or conservative?

Liberal.....	19	[272]
Moderate.....	39	
Conservative.....	36	
Not sure.....	6	

F8. Are you currently single and never married, married, separated, widowed, or divorced?

Single.....	22	[273]
Married.....	55	
Separated.....	2	
Widowed.....	8	
Divorced.....	11	
Other (VOL).....	-	
Not sure/refused.....	2	

F9a. In what religion were you brought up?

Protestant.....	50	CONTINUE	[274]
Catholic.....	25		
Jewish.....	3		
Muslim.....	-	Skip to Q.F10	
Other.....	14		
None.....	5		
Not sure/refused.....	3		

(ASK ONLY OF PROTESTANTS IN Q.F9a.)

F9b. Would you describe yourself as either a fundamentalist or an evangelical Christian, or would you not describe yourself that way?

Fundamentalist/evangelical.....	18	[275]
Neither fundamentalist nor evangelical.....	28	
Not sure.....	4	
Non-Protestants (Q.F9a).....	50	

F10. Are you a member of a labor union? **(IF "NO," ASK:)** Is anyone else in this household a member of a labor union?

Labor union member.....	10	[276]
Labor union household.....	5	
Non-union household.....	82	
Not sure.....	3	

F11a. Are you from a Hispanic or Spanish-speaking background?

Yes, Hispanic.....	7	[277]
No, not Hispanic.....	91	
Not sure/refused.....	2	

F11b. What is your race--white, black, Asian, or something else?

White.....	77	[278]
Black	11	
Asian	1	
Other	4	
Hispanic (VOL).....	4	
Not sure/refused.....	3	

F12. If you added together the yearly income of all the members of your family who were living at home last year, would the total be less than ten thousand dollars, between ten thousand dollars and twenty thousand dollars, between twenty thousand dollars and thirty thousand dollars, between thirty thousand dollars and forty thousand dollars, between forty thousand dollars and fifty thousand dollars, between fifty thousand dollars and seventy-five thousand dollars, between seventy-five thousand dollars and one hundred thousand dollars, or would the total be more than that?

Less than \$10,000	5	[279]
Between \$10,000 and \$20,000	9	
Between \$20,000 and \$30,000	11	
Between \$30,000 and \$40,000	10	
Between \$40,000 and \$50,000	11	
Between \$50,000 and \$75,000	21	
Between \$75,000 and \$100,000	9	
More than \$100,000	9	
Not sure/refused.....	15	

508575
FC006-27

*Susan
di Susa
vml*

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

EXEC. OFC. PRESIDENT
WH STRATEGIC INITIATIVES

2002 AUG -1 AM 11: 44

August 1, 2002

90 totals

MEMORANDUM FOR:

NICK CALIO
KARL ROVE ✓
DAN BARTLETT
JOSH BOLTEN
CONDOLEEZZA RICE

VIA:

KEN MEHLMAN
ED INGLE

FROM:

ADRIAN GRAY

SUBJECT:

CABINET TRAVEL

Attached is a map and matrix outlining the Cabinet's travel so far this year. Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns.

CABINET TRAVEL BY THE NUMBERS

(January 1, 2002 - July 26, 2002)

CABINET MEMBER SYNOPSIS		
	# of Days Traveled* (outside DC Media Market)	# of States Visited
Paige	56	24
Whitman	52	21
Abraham	53	17
Thompson	46	16
Martinez	44	18
Principi	45	19
Norton	37	17
Ashcroft	37	19
Veneman	37	24
Mineta	27	18
Ridge	30	19
Evans	31	12
Chao	29	10
O'Neill	18	8
Card	16	10

*This does not include personal or foreign travel

TARGET STATE SYNOPSIS		
	# of Travel Days in State	# of Cabinet Members that have visited State
Florida	49	12
Pennsylvania	25	11
Michigan	21	7
Colorado	19	10
Ohio	17	16
Wisconsin	16	8
Nevada	14	8
New Mexico	14	6
North Carolina	12	5
Missouri	11	8
Iowa	7	5
Arkansas	6	7
Maine	6	2
New Hampshire	5	4
Tennessee	5	3
Arizona	5	2
Minnesota	4	4
Oregon	4	4
West Virginia	4	3

NON-TARGET STATE SYNOPSIS		
	# of Travel Days in State	# of Cabinet Members that have visited State
New York	47	9
California	38	8
Illinois	24	11
Texas	20	10
Utah	13	8
Massachusetts	13	4
Virginia*	11	5
New Jersey	11	4
Kentucky	11	3
Maryland*	8	6
Louisiana	8	5
Connecticut	7	5
GA, IN, KS, MS, SC	4-6	
AK, ID, MT, ND, NE, SD	2-3	
DE, HI, RI, AL	1	
OK, VT, WA, WY	0	

*Outside the Beltway




CABINET TRAVEL

From the Offices of Cabinet Affairs and Political Affairs



January 1 - July 26, 2002



- State visited by the Cabinet in last 2 weeks
- State visited by the Cabinet between 6/27 and 7/11
- State not visited in the last 4 weeks
- State not yet visited by the Cabinet in 2002

KEY
 State
 # of Travel Days spent in State
 Date of Last Visit

•The Cabinet has traveled to 46 states this year..
 •The Cabinet has not yet traveled to 4 states this year (WY, OK, VT, WA) and 1 state since the Inaugural (VT).

508575
FC 006-27

Subject: Office of Global Communications.
Date: Tue, 30 Jul 2002 08:04:39 -0400
From: Jim Harff <jimharff@globalcommunications.com>
To: kr@rove.com, kr@georgebush.com

Karl,

Right on target, as we discussed last October. We're working with HHS and NEH along these lines. What can we do to help? Who should I contact?

All the best,
Jim

*add Tucker
Esken to
call list.*

Diana Jane Pascoe

NW

508575
FC.006-27

July 24

Dear Karl -

I just had to tell you
thanks for taking the time
to call me personally! I
can only imagine how busy
you are so it meant a lot.
You're the best!

Regards -

Diana (Heyriid)
Pascoe

EX-100 PRESIDENT
WILLIAM J. CLINTON
LIBRARY

2004-09-05 11:05

Craig & Diana Pascoe

(b)(6)

508575
FG 006-27

Please join
the Honorable

~~White House Senior Advisor~~

Karl Rove

OK with
change
Brett
Kavanaugh
7/19/02

ina
Roundtable
~~Roundtable~~ Discussion

~~at the home of~~

benefiting The Indiana Republican Party
Victory 2002 Program

~~We are~~

Tuesday, August 6th
6:00p.m.

at the home of

Al & Kathy Hubbard

(b)(6)

\$2500 per person
\$5000 per couple

business attire

Please R.S.V.P. by faxing this sheet back to (317) 632-8510 or by calling (317) 964-5000.
Please make checks payable to The Indiana Republican Party and mail to
47 S. Meridian St. 2nd Floor, Indianapolis, IN 46204

____ Yes, I (We) will be attending the Roundtable Discussion at the home of Al & Kathy Hubbard
on August 6th.

Name(s) of attendees _____

____ No, I (We) will be unable to attend the Roundtable Discussion on August 6th, but we would
like to make a donation of \$_____.

Paid for by the Indiana Republican Party, 47 S. Meridian St. 2nd Floor, Indianapolis, IN 46204. All contributions will be deposited in the
Indiana Republican Party State Victory 2002 Account. Contributions are not tax deductible.

HOST COMMITTEE

Jim Kittle, Jr.
Republican State Chairman

Al and Kathy Hubbard

Richard and Renae Ackley

James M. Cornelius

Bob and Melody Grand

John Keefer

Fred and Judy Klipsch

Carl and Debbie Smith

Randy and Marianne Tobias

You are cordially invited to fund raising reception with
Karl Rove

~~_____~~

Chris Chabola

Candidate for Vermont's Second Congressional District

The Hubbard House

(b)(6)

August 1, 2002

Private Reception 6:30 PM

Happy Hour Reception 7:00 PM

Special presentation of Photo & Video Reception
Special presentation of House of Representatives and Congress


Please contact the host, Al Hubbard, for Chris Chabola for Congress
RSVP Enclosed

Please call 802-259-7292 with questions
for the Vermont House of Representatives

Do not
send
the
biography
of Karl
with
the
invitation.

Brett
Karawayh
7/19/02

Kate
Marinis
from Brett



**Reception for Chris Chocola
with Karl Rove**

Yes, I would like my picture taken with Karl Rove at the Hubbard Home on August 6, 2002 in support of Chris Chocola. Enclosed is my contribution of \$_____.

Yes, I (we) will attend the event at the Hubbard Home on August 6, 2002 in support of Chris Chocola. Enclosed is my contribution of \$_____.

No, I am unable to attend the reception, but I would like to support Chris' campaign. Enclosed is my contribution of \$_____.

Name _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____

Phone _____

E-mail _____

For more information, please call 574-277-2002.

Rebate by Chocola for Congress, Inc. Contributors do not receive a deduction for federal tax purposes.
Federal law prohibits corporate contributions.

Biography for Chris Chocola

Chris Chocola is the Republican Nominee for Congress in Indiana's new Second District. He is known as the "open seat." The race has been brought by him because he being one of most victims in discriminating party control of Congress, and is listed as one of the "top 100" by *Crain's*.

Chris is a successful businessman and entrepreneur who understands that effective strategy is required to earn a living in the marketplace they create. Chris believes that his practical experience would bring a unique perspective to Congress -- very different from that of a career politician or someone who has made a career out of working in politics. Since graduating magna cum laude from law school in 1989, Chris has been with CTR International Corp., a firm in Indiana. He actively managed all the legal aspects of the business as Corporate Counsel and held various management positions until he was ultimately named Chief Executive Officer in 1994.

Under his leadership, CTR has more than doubled in size and made a successful transition from a family business to a publicly-held company that is traded on the NASDAQ. In April of 1999, Chris became Chairman of the Board of CTR.

Chris is a member of Rotary, sits on the Board of Directors of Southern Psychological Center, and serves on the Council of Advisors at the South Bend Center for the Handicapped. Chris also has various business interests in north central Indiana. Chris sits on the Board of Directors of the Boys & Girls Club in Greener.

~~White House Senior Advisor~~ *The Honorable*

Karl Rove

Karl Rove, 50, who served as chief strategist for President Bush's presidential campaign, manages the Office of Political Affairs, the Office of Public Liaison, and the Office of Strategic Initiatives at the White House.

Before joining the campaign, he was president of Karl Rove and Company, an Austin-based public affairs firm.

Rove attended the University of Utah, the University of Texas at Austin and George Mason University.

He has taught at the LBJ School of Public Affairs and in the Journalism Department at the University of Texas at Austin.

508575
FG 006-27

From: Adam L. Levine on 07/30/2002 10:16:15 AM

Record Type: Record

To: Melissa S. Bennett/WHO/EOP@EOP, Susan B. Ralston/WHO/EOP@EOP, Carol J. Thompson/WHO/EOP@EOP, Colleen Litkenhaus/WHO/EOP@EOP

cc: Harry W. Wolff/WHO/EOP@EOP, Kristopher N. Purcell/WHO/EOP@EOP

Subject: 9/11 Television interview requests

Attached is the current grid of 9/11 network television interview requests. Please run the requests by your principals (I have run by Bartlett, and he is 100% fine with all.)

After they sign off, I will have the producers contact you all directly and so that a date and time can be scheduled -- I have told them that 15-20 minutes is pretty much all they are going to get....but we may let CBS go a little longer since the President is participating in their project.

Once you schedule a time and date, contact Harry Wolff and he will figure out the best location (either the studio, the north lawn or the south lawn drive way) he will contact Lois for make-up and either he or I will accompany them to the interview.

I would strongly suggest NOT doing them in the principal's offices because the set-up and break down will kill two or so hours...

These can also be done in Crawford, or pretty much anywhere that works for the principals...

Let Harry or me know if there are any problems or questions....

Adam



September 11th Requests.xl

OK
8/12

9/11 Interview Requests

	ABC	CBS	CNN	FOX	NBC
Card	Moran	Pelley	John King	Angle	
Rice	Moran	Pelley	John King	Angle	
Rove	Moran	Roberts	John King		
Bolten			John King		
Hagin	Moran				
Bartlett	Moran		John King		
Matalin	Moran	Braver	John King		
Fleischer	Moran	Roberts	John King		
Hughes	Moran	Pelley	John King		
Johndroe	Moran		John King		
Millerwise	Moran				
Womack	Moran				
Wolff	Moran				
Sunbarger	Moran				
Draper	Moran				
Eckert	Moran				
Libby			John King		

508575
FG006-27

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

Date: 6/6/02

To: Libby

From: **Strategic Initiatives** Susan

FYI cc: Distie Carstensen

Appropriate Action

Direct Response

Prepare Response For My Signature

Per Our Conversation

Let's Discuss

Per Your Request

Please Return

Deadline

Other

Comments: KR asked if you'd check
w/ the Judge to see if he'd agree to have
this done via satellite. POTUS can't
travel.

Libby - Setelite?

Susan -
Disurus Jane

EXEC. OFF. PRESIDENT
WH STRATEGIC INITIATIVES
2002 AUG -1 AM 9:52

INVITATION TRACKING SHEET

Office of the Director of Appointments and Scheduling
Bradley A. Blakeman

DATE: 7-31-02

- TO:
- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Andi Ball | <input type="checkbox"/> Joseph Hagin | <input type="checkbox"/> Harriet Miers |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Ruben Barrales | <input type="checkbox"/> Albert Hawkins | <input type="checkbox"/> Condoleezza Rice |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Dan Bartlett | <input type="checkbox"/> Chris Hennick | <input type="checkbox"/> Governor Ridge |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Joshua Bolten | <input type="checkbox"/> Clay Johnson | <input type="checkbox"/> General Rosenker |
| <input type="checkbox"/> John Bridgeland | <input type="checkbox"/> Elizabeth Kleppe | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Karl Rove |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Nick Calio | <input type="checkbox"/> Jay Lefkowitz | <input type="checkbox"/> Margaret Spellings |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Secretary Card | <input type="checkbox"/> Lewis Libby | <input type="checkbox"/> James Towey |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Ari Fleischer | <input type="checkbox"/> Larry Lindsey | <input type="checkbox"/> Lezlee Westine |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Justice Gonzales | <input type="checkbox"/> Kenneth Mehlman | |

COMMENTS DUE BY: 8-6-02

Note: Please return this form with your recommendations within 5 working days or else we will assume that this invitation should be regretted.

ACTION REQUIRED

Accept Invitation/Meeting Request (Schedule Proposal Attached)
Comments: _____

Regret Invitation/Meeting Request
Comments: _____

Recommend Surrogate
Name(s): _____

Please return to Distie Carstensen, 1800 G Street, 9th Floor

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

July 24, 2002


Dear Mr. Gomez:

Thank you for your letter inviting the President to attend the 30th Anniversary Convention of the Hispanic National Bar Association on October 16-19, 2002.

We appreciate the invitation and the valuable opportunity it represents. Although we have not made a decision about the President's participation, your comments will certainly be considered as the President's schedule develops. If you have any questions regarding your request, please contact the Presidential Scheduling Office at 202-456-5324.

Please be assured that as soon as a final decision has been made regarding your request, my office will contact you directly.

Sincerely,



Bradley A. Blakeman
Deputy Assistant to the President
and Director of Appointments and Scheduling

Mr. Angel Gomez
President
Hispanic National Bar Association
8201 Greensboro Drive
Suite 300
Mc Lean, Virginia 22102-3814

bcc: Andrews

Conference / Convention

International Event

For Long Range Planning

Work Flow #: 229486

Action Taken Thus Far: Acknowledgement
Letter Sent.

229486

HNBA HISPANIC NATIONAL BAR ASSOCIATION HNBA

8201 Greensboro Drive, Suite 300, McLean, Virginia 22102

Phone: 703-610-9038 • Fax: 703-610-9005 • E-Mail: hnba@hnba.com • Internet: www.hnba.com

F A X C O V E R P A G E

TO: office of the President ; c/o : Scheduling Office
Phone: (202) 456-6208
From: Lisa Renzi, Conventions & Meetings Manager
Re: Invitation to Annual Convention
Date: 7/24/02
Pages: 2 (including cover page)

The National Voice of the Hispanic Legal Community

HNBA HISPANIC NATIONAL BAR ASSOCIATION HNBA

The National Voice of the Hispanic Legal Community.

July 17, 2002

The Honorable George W. Bush
President of the United States
The White House
Washington, DC 20500

Dear Mr. President:

On behalf of the Hispanic National Bar Association, we would like to extend you an invitation to be our special guest at our 30th Anniversary Convention to be held at the Grand Hyatt Hotel in Atlanta, Georgia from October 16-19, 2002. We would be honored by your participation and are more than willing to accommodate the program to fit your schedule.

The Hispanic National Bar Association (HNBA) is an incorporated, non-profit, national association representing the interest of over 25,000 Hispanic American attorneys, judges, law professors, and law students in the United States, including Puerto Rico.

The primary objectives of the HNBA are to increase professional opportunities for Hispanics in the legal profession and address issues of concern to the national Hispanic community. Legal education and civil rights have been fundamental concerns of the HNBA from the beginning. Judicial appointments and political representation are also priorities of the HNBA.


The HNBA has been called upon to take a leadership role within Hispanic communities due to the rapid growth it has experienced during the last five years. The HNBA is a member of the National Hispanic Leadership Agenda (NHLEA), a group comprised of representatives from 21 Hispanic national organizations, representing over 160,000 active Hispanic community leaders.

The HNBA holds a seat in the American Bar Association House of Delegates, which represents over 500,000 attorneys. The HNBA has taken a leadership role, along with other minority bar associations, in sponsoring programs to increase the number of minority law school students. Concurrent with the obligation, the HNBA has developed proposals for law school admission committees to study factors leading to the decline in the number of minority law students and the Bar passage rate of minority students.

Since 1975, the HNBA has held an annual national convention offering public policy seminars, continuing legal education classes, and social functions that have attracted thousands of members and friends, including members of the legal profession, prominent speakers and guests from all corners of our country.

We would be honored to have you at our Annual Convention. Your office can contact Lisa Renzi, Conventions and Meetings Manager, at (703) 610-1273 or lrenzi@hnba.com with further questions or coordination regarding this event. Thank you for your time and consideration and we look forward to seeing you in Atlanta.

Sincerely,



Angel Gomez, Esq.
President

508575
FG-006-27

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

Date: 6/6/02

To: Barry Jackson, Kasey Pipes

From: Strategic Initiatives Susan

- FYI
- Appropriate Action
- Direct Response
- Prepare Response For My Signature
- Per Our Conversation
- Let's Discuss
- Per Your Request
- Please Return
- Deadline
- Other

Comments: Karl marked the names
he likes.

Withdrawal Marker

The George W. Bush Library

FORM	SUBJECT/TITLE	PAGES	DATE	RESTRICTION(S)
Email	Possible OSI speakers - To: Karl Rove - From: Peter Wehner	5	07/30/2002	P5;

**This marker identifies the original location of the withdrawn item listed above.
For a complete list of items withdrawn from this folder, see the
Withdrawal/Redaction Sheet at the front of the folder.**

COLLECTION:

Records Management, White House Office of

SERIES:

Subject Files - FG006-27 (Office of Senior Advisor - Karl Rove)

FOLDER TITLE:

508575 [1]

FRC ID:

9704

OA Num.:

10728

NARA Num.:

10786

FOIA IDs and Segments:

2014-0124-F

RESTRICTION CODES

Presidential Records Act - [44 U.S.C. 2204(a)]

- P1 National Security Classified Information [(a)(1) of the PRA]
- P2 Relating to the appointment to Federal office [(a)(2) of the PRA]
- P3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(a)(3) of the PRA]
- P4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential commercial or financial information [(a)(4) of the PRA]
- P5 Release would disclose confidential advise between the President and his advisors, or between such advisors [(a)(5) of the PRA]
- P6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(a)(6) of the PRA]

PRM. Personal record misfile defined in accordance with 44 U.S.C. 2201(3).

Deed of Gift Restrictions

- A. Closed by Executive Order 13526 governing access to national security information.
- B. Closed by statute or by the agency which originated the document.
- C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- b(1) National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- b(2) Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- b(3) Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- b(4) Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- b(6) Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- b(7) Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- b(8) Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- b(9) Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

508575
FG 006-27
202 226 1177 P.02/02

NO

JIM DeMINT
6TH DISTRICT, SOUTH CAROLINA
www.demint.house.gov
TRANSPORTATION AND
INFRASTRUCTURE
EDUCATION AND THE
WORKFORCE
SMALL BUSINESS
CHAIRMAN
SUBCOMMITTEE ON WORKFORCE
EMPOWERMENT AND GOVERNMENT
PROGRAMS



Congress of the United States
House of Representatives

504 CANNON HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515
(202) 225-6030

300 EAST WASHINGTON STREET
GREENVILLE, SC 29601
(864) 232-1141

201 MAGNOLIA STREET
SPARTANBURG, SC 29301
(864) 582-6422

P.O. Box 1169
UNION, SC 29379
(864) 427-2205

July 25, 2002

Mr. Karl Rove
Senior Advisor to the President
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue
Washington, DC 20500

~~- Decline
- conflict~~

Dear Mr. Rove:

On September 18-20, 2002, I will be hosting a National Issues Forum in Washington for over 60 business leaders and elected officials from my Congressional District. I would be honored if you would consider taking time out of your busy schedule to be the keynote speaker for this Forum at a breakfast on **Friday, September 20, 2002 at 8:30 a.m.** The breakfast will be held at the **Capitol Hill Hyatt, 400 New Jersey Avenue, N.W.**

The goal of the Forum is to promote awareness of and participation in federal policy by my constituents, and I believe that your knowledge and expertise of federal issues that impact states and local communities will be of great benefit to those in attendance. As a top advisor to the President, I would ask that you speak to the group about the President's domestic agenda for the remainder of this crucial election year, as well as his initiatives to secure the lives of our citizens at home and abroad as we fight the War on Terror.

Ellen Weaver in my DC office will contact your scheduler to confirm your participation. She may also be reached at (202) 225-6030 or by email at ellen.weaver@mail.house.gov. I appreciate your consideration of this request and thank you in advance for your help.

*Karl
Please help if
you can.*

Sincerely,

Jim DeMint
Member of Congress

504 CANNON HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515
(202) 225-6030

300 EAST WASHINGTON STREET
GREENVILLE, SC 29601
(864) 232-1141

201 MAGNOLIA STREET
SPARTANBURG, SC 29301
(864) 582-6422

P.O. Box 1169
UNION, SC 29379
(864) 427-2205



Congress of the United States House of Representatives

From the Office of Congressman Jim DeMint
504 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515
Phone: (202) 225-6030, Fax: (202) 226-1177

JIM DeMINT
4TH DISTRICT, SOUTH CAROLINA
www.demint.house.gov
TRANSPORTATION AND
INFRASTRUCTURE
EDUCATION AND THE
WORKFORCE
SMALL BUSINESS
CHAIRMAN
SUBCOMMITTEE ON WORKFORCE
EMPOWERMENT AND GOVERNMENT
PROGRAMS

Fax From:

- Congressman Jim DeMint**
Member of Congress
- Matt Hoskins**
Chief of Staff
- Ellen Weaver**
Executive Assistant
- Dan Lara**
Press Secretary
- Chuck Royal**
Legislative Assistant
- Chris Socha**
Legislative Assistant
- Nina Krig**
Legislative Assistant
- Susan Burr**
Legislative Assistant
- Thomas Bean**
Legislative Correspondent
- _____
Intern

Sent To:

Name: Mr. Karl Rove

Company: Senior Advisor to the President

Fax Number: 202-456-2967

Pages: 2
Including cover

Date: 7/25/02

Comments: